Reviewers: I offer this checklist to help you with the review of *original research* manuscripts to *IJARE*. Do not submit it with your review. It is for your personal use to organize your review.

* Have you read the 150 word abstract and determined whether it contains the pertinent information summarizing the study including
* a sentence stating purpose *and/or* research question *and/or* hypotheses?
* mention of appropriate method including sample size, measures?
* statistical analyses employed and a summary of the significant results?
* identification of specific conclusions and implications of the findings?
* Are appropriate *keywords* associated with the study added after the abstract?
* Are all tables, figures, photos, and videos available and understandable? Do they facilitate understanding of the material without unnecessarily duplicating the text?
* Conversely, should some textual information be summarized in a table?
* Are the citations plus references all cited using the American Psychological Association (APA) format (refer to *Publication Manual*, 6th Edition or Purdue OWL-APA)?
* **Have you provided a page-by-page and/or line-by-line detailed review of comments, suggesting where edits should be made and raising appropriate theoretical and practical questions?**
* For research with humans, have authors identified appropriate IRB compliance?
* For all measurement instruments reported in the study, have author(s) identified the appropriate *validity*, *reliability*, and *rater objectivity* information?
* For statistical analyses, have author(s) mentioned the *Type I error level* against which the hypotheses have been tested? (**α** < 0.05 is the traditional level, but *not* mandatory as long as an acceptable alternative rationale is identified.)
* For all statistical analyses, have author(s) reported the *statistical power* (i.e., sufficient sample & effect sizes to detect significant differences if they indeed do exist)?
* Has the document been sufficiently proofread to eliminate misspellings & typographic errors?
* If English is not the authors’ first language, would assistance from a technical writer with English skills be useful for this manuscript?
* Have authors avoided excessive use of jargon and/or at least defined it in context?
* Could a naïve, intelligent English-speaking person read and comprehend the manuscript?
* **Overall**, will the information provided in this research manuscript contribute to the wider body of non-competitive aquatic literature, expand our knowledge of aquatics, and represent the field (and *IJARE*) well?

*Feedback on the content, organization, and usefulness of this checklist always appreciated!*