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ABSTRACT: We report that the p-xylylenediammonium ion (11) acts as a template in the cucurbit[6]uril forming reaction that biases the reaction toward the production of methylene bridged glycoluril hexamer (6C) and bis-nor-seco-CB[10]. Hexamer 6C is readily available on the gram scale by a one step synthetic procedure that avoids chromatography. Hexamer 6C undergoes macrocyclization with (substituted) phthalaldehydes 12, 14, 15, and 18—in 9 M H₂SO₄ or concd HCl at room temperature to deliver monofunctionalized CB[6] derivatives 13, 16, 17, and 19—that are poised for further functionalization reactions. The kinetics of the macrocyclization reaction between hexamer and formaldehyde or phthalaldehyde depends on the presence and identity of ammonium ions as templates. p-XYLYLENE DIAMMONIUM ION (11) which barely fits inside CB[6] sized cavities acts as a negative template which slows down transformation of 6C and paraformaldehyde into CB[6]. In contrast, 11 and hexanediammonium ion (20) act as a positive template that promotes the macrocyclization reaction between 6C and 12 to deliver (±)-21 as a key intermediate along the mechanistic pathway to CB[6] derivatives. Naphthalene-CB[6] derivative 19 which contains both fluorophore and ureidyl C=O metal-ion (e.g., Eu³⁺) binding sites forms the basis for a fluorescence turn-on assay for suitable ammonium ions (e.g., hexanediammonium ion and histamine).

INTRODUCTION

The cucurbit[6]uril (CB[6]; n = 5, 6, 7, 8, 10) family of molecular container compounds are prepared by the condensation reaction of glycoluril (1) and formaldehyde under strongly acidic conditions (Chart 1).†,‡ Interest in CB[n] molecular containers has surged in recent years, in large part due to the high affinity (K[R] up to 10¹⁵ M⁻¹) and highly selective (K[R] up to 10¹⁰ for closely related guests) binding processes that occur inside the CB[n] cavity.¶,Ⅰ These large differences in binding free energy amount to a potent driving force that can be used to drive switching processes in biological and technological applications. For example, CB[n]-guest complexes have been used in applications ranging from stimuli responsive molecular machines,⁸ supramolecular polymers,⁹ sensing ensembles,¹⁰,¹¹ and in biomimetic systems.¹²–¹⁴ Still other application areas include drug delivery,¹⁵–¹⁷ gas purification,¹⁸ and supramolecular catalysis.¹⁹ Despite the wide range of applications to which the parent unfunctionalized CB[n] compounds may be applied, there is real need for versatile synthetic methods for the preparation of CB[n] derivatives that contain reactive functional groups that are amenable to further functionalization reactions for incorporation into more complex systems. To date, the most versatile method for the functionalization of CB[n] compounds is the (per)hydroxylation of CB[n] developed by the Kim group,²⁰ who have used the (per)hydroxylated CB[n] compounds for several applications including membrane protein fishing and stimuli responsive polymer nanocapsules for drug delivery.¹⁶,²¹ Despite these advances, the preparation of monofunctionalized CB[n] compounds containing reactive functional groups remains unknown.

For many years, our group has been interested in understanding the mechanism of CB[n] formation (Scheme 1) as a means to prepare new CB[n]-type receptors with the goal of endowing the synthesized CB[n] compounds with exciting new functions. This line of inquiry has lead us to prepare CB[n] analogues,²³ inverted CB[n],²⁴ and nor-seco-CB[n] containers²⁵,²⁶ (Chart 1) which were demonstrated to function in applications including UV/vis and fluorescence sensing, chiral recognition, size-dependent homotropic allostery, and foldamer reconfiguration. In the course of these studies, we have garnered mechanistic information that suggested to us that templated synthesis of CB[n]-type compounds would be possible. For example, we established the presence of an equilibrium between S-shaped and C-shaped glycoluril oligomers (e.g., 2C–8C)²⁷ that greatly favors the C-shaped form required for the formation of CB[n]-type receptors.²⁸ We also showed that the macrocyclization reaction to give CB[n]-type receptors occurs by a combination of chain growth and step growth processes.²⁷,²⁹,³⁰ Previously, Day and co-workers studied the influence of potential templating compounds (e.g., metal ions or ammonium ions) on the product distribution of the CB[n]...
forming reaction. Although the presence of templates does effect the ratio of \( CB[n] \) formed, the effects are generally modest and the underlying mechanistic reasons for those effects remain unclear. In this paper, we explore the templated synthesis of methylene bridged glycoluril hexamer (6C), the transformation of 6C into monofunctionalized \( CB[6] \) derivatives by reaction with (substituted) phthalaldehydes in the presence of templates, and demonstrate unique sensing abilities of a \( CB[6] \) derivative covalently functionalized with a naphthalene fluorophore.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This results and discussion section is subdivided into several subsections detailing the template synthesis of glycoluril hexamer 6C and bis-ns-CB[10], the transformation of 6C into \( CB[6] \) derivatives, exploration of the basic recognition properties of these \( CB[6] \) derivatives, and their use in creating a turn-on fluorescence sensor for biologically important histamine.

**Mechanism of \( CB[n] \) Formation.** First, we review the state-of-the-art understanding of the mechanism of \( CB[n] \) formation (Scheme 1) which is required to understand our hypothesis that templated formation of \( CB[n] \)-type receptors will be possible when the reactions are conducted with fewer than 2 equiv of formaldehyde. In brief, the condensation of two molecules of glycoluril (1) with two molecules of formaldehyde delivers a mixture of C-shaped 2C and its S-shaped diastereomer 2S. Previous model system studies have shown that the C-shaped diastereomer is more stable than the S-shaped form by > 1.55 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), so isomerization to the more stable 2C occurs readily under the reaction conditions. Dimer 2C can undergo chain growth by the stepwise addition of glycoluril (1) to deliver trimer–octamer (3C–8C); at each step along the way, isomerization from the less stable S-shaped to the more stable C-shaped forms occur. At this stage, two mechanistic pathways may occur. In the first pathway, two molecules of oligomer (e.g., pentamer 5C) may condense with two molecules of formaldehyde via intermediates (\( \pm \)-9 (\( n = 5 \)) and (\( \pm \)-10 (\( n = 5 \)) which leads to bis-ns-seco-CB[10] by a step growth process. Similar step growth processes lead to (\( \pm \)-bis-ns-seco-CB[6] and an acyclic glycoluril decamer that we have studied previously. A second pathway involves the condensation of 6C with formaldehyde to initially deliver (\( \pm \)-9 (\( n = 6 \)) followed by macrocyclization to give nor-seco-CB[6]. On the basis of the results of model system studies and product resubmission experiments, we depict all of these fundamental steps with reversible equilibrium arrows. Finally, the reaction of nor-seco-CB[n] with formaldehyde delivers \( CB[n] \). Within the \( CB[n] \) product manifold, resubmission experiments conducted by Day establish that \( CB[8] \) undergoes contraction to the smaller \( CB[n] \) (\( n = 5, 6, 7 \)) but that \( CB[5], CB[6], \) and \( CB[7] \) are stable to the reaction conditions. Therefore, \( CB[5]–CB[7] \) are kinetic traps in the \( CB[n] \) forming reaction; the relative thermodynamic stability of \( CB[5], CB[6], \) and \( CB[7] \) have not been established experimentally. This result implies that the final ring closing transformation of nor-seco-CB[n] to \( CB[n] \) is irreversible under the reaction conditions. On the basis of this analysis, it is perhaps unsurprising that previous attempts to use ammonium ions that are good guests for \( CB[n] \) as thermodynamic templates have not been particularly successful. The key hypothesis explored in this paper is whether substituted ammonium ions (e.g., \( p \)-xylylendiammonium ion 11) are

![Chart 1. Structures of Glycoluril (1), \( CB[n] \), (\( \pm \))-Bis-nor-seco-CB[6], and Bis-nor-seco-CB[10]](attachment:chart1.png)

![Scheme 1. Mechanism of \( CB[n] \) Formation](@.pdf)
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*a Color code: reversible equilibria, green arrows; irreversible reactions, red arrows.
suitable templates for nor-seco-CB[n] forming reactions conducted between 1 equiv of glycoluril and less than 2 equiv of formaldehyde. Under these formaldehyde deficient reaction conditions, irreversible cyclization to CB[n] is slowed down allowing thermodynamic effects to become enhanced.

**Classification of Templates.** Classification of the different types of template effects that may occur in covalent bond forming reactions has been beautifully reviewed by Anderson and Sanders and is therefore only briefly described here. Template molecules promote the formation of a specific product by either kinetic or thermodynamic means. Thermodynamic templates enhance the yield of reactions under thermodynamic control by stabilizing one product at the expense of others. Kinetic templates influence the yield of a particular product by changing the various transition state energies and therefore the rate of product formation. Kinetic templates may be further classified as positive or negative based on whether they speed up or slow down the rate of formation of a particular product, respectively. Finally, because kinetic templates, which influence transition state energies, typically bind to reaction intermediates or products, they commonly also exert thermodynamic influences on the reaction.

**Templated Synthesis of Hexamer 6C and Bis-nor-seco-CB[10].**

**Selection of Para-xylylenediammonium Ion as Template and 1H NMR Probe Guest.** On the basis of the hypothesis described above, we decided to conduct reactions between 1 equiv of glycoluril (1) and less than 2 equiv of formaldehyde in the presence of an ammonium ion as template. As the template ammonium ion we selected p-xylylenediammonium ion (11) for several reasons (Figure 1). First, 11 is known to form well-defined host–guest complexes with CB[6], CB[7], CB[8], i-CB[6], i-CB[7], 6C, bis-nor-seco-CB[10], (+)-bis-nor-seco-CB[6], and nor-seco-CB[6] which means that 11 could conceivably act as a stabilizing template for many different CB[n]-type receptors. Second, because the kinetics of exchange for complexes of 11 with each of these containers is slow on the NMR time scale, each different container–11 complex gives a diagnostic pattern of resonances in the 1H NMR spectrum (Figure 1). For example, for highly symmetric hosts (CB[6], CB[7], i-CB[6], i-CB[7], and 6C), the 1H NMR resonance for the Ar–H atoms of 11 appears as a sharp singlet in the relatively open 6–7 ppm region of the 1H NMR spectrum (Figure 1 and Supporting Information). Conversely, for less symmetric bis-nor-seco-CB[10]·11, and nor-seco-CB[6]·11 complexes, the Ar–H (Hα and Hβ) atoms are nonequivalent and appear as a pair of doublets. Finally, for (+)-bis-nor-seco-CB[6]·11, the Ar–H (Hα and Hβ) atoms are equivalent and appear as a singlet but the CH2-groups of guest 11 are diastereotopic (Hc and Hc′) and appear as a pair of doublets in the upfield region of the spectrum. Third, we find that using 11 as guest tends to result in good dispersion of the host resonances for the less symmetrical host·11 complexes. For these reasons, it was particularly efficacious to use 11 as template and simultaneously as an in situ probe for analysis of the content of CB[n] reaction mixtures.

**Discovery of Templated Synthesis of Hexamer 6C.** After much experimentation, we discovered that heating a mixture of 1 (7.1 g, 1 equiv, 5 M), paraformaldehyde (1.67 equiv), and 11 (0.1 equiv) in concentrated HCl at 58 °C for 3–5 days delivers a thick off-white precipitate that can be isolated by centrifugation. Analysis of the 1H NMR spectrum of the precipitate indicates that it contains mainly (≈ 89% purity) the 6C·11 complex (Scheme 2). We also analyzed the content of the supernatant by 1H NMR. The supernatant contains 11% 6C, 10% bis-ns-CB[10], 5% nor-seco-CB[6], 5% CB[6], along with unidentified species. To obtain free 6C, we dissolved the crude solid in water, centrifuged away insoluble materials, and then added 5 M aq NaOH which resulted in the precipitation of 6C. Hexamer 6C is obtained as a white powder (0.901 g, 10% yield).

Our hypothesis is that the presence of 11 as template served at least two purposes: (1) to bind to 6C and therefore thermodynamically stabilize it, and (2) to cause precipitation which also thermodynamically stabilizes 6C toward further transformation. In an attempt to further optimize this reaction, we changed some key variables (e.g., temperature, acid concentration, equivalents of 11, equivalents of formaldehyde) but were unable to further improve the process.

**Templated Synthesis of Bis-nor-seco-CB[10].** Previously, we have reported that the reaction of 1 (1.42 g, 1 equiv, 2.5 M) and paraformaldehyde (1.67 equiv) in concd HCl at 50 °C delivers bis-nor-seco-CB[10] as an insoluble precipitate (0.238 g, 15%). We found that this reaction is very sensitive to many variables...
reaction whose fundamental condensation steps respond to formation as a copolymerization process between concentrations according to Le Chatelier aldehyde which is expected to deliver longer oligomers at higher templated reaction at higher concentrations of attached with copper wire preferred). Given the high sensitivity preferred), and the nature of the vessel closure (14/20 Suba-Seal solvent, the nature of the reaction vessel (20 mL scintillation vial including the initial mixing of the solid reagents with the HCl changes in concentration over the millimolar to molar range, since the CB[6] forming reaction is a cyclo-oligomerization reaction37 whose fundamental condensation steps respond to changes in concentration over the millimolar to molar range,32 we reasoned that it would be worthwhile to perform the 11 templated reaction at higher concentrations of 1. For example, when the reaction is conducted using 400 g of 1 with [1] = 4 M, the reaction mixture remains homogeneous and 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture reveals the presence of bis-ns-CB[10] (11%), 6C (6%), ns-CB[6] (5%), and CB[6] (13%). The more soluble compounds 6C, CB[6], and ns-CB[6] were removed with H2O. Decomplexation of bis-nor-seco-CB[10]·112 by washing with 0.1 M NaOH in MeOH followed by recrystallization from HCl delivered bis-CB[10]·11 (32.6 g, 7% yield). The observation of the enhanced formation of bis-nor-seco-CB[10] (derived from two units of pentamer 5C) at lower concentrations and hexamer 6C at higher concentrations of 1 is consistent with our description of the mechanism of CB[n] formation as a copolymerization process between 1 and formaldehyde which is expected to deliver longer oligomers at higher concentrations according to Le Chatelier’s principle.

The Role of Template 11 in CB[n] Forming Reactions. There are many possible roles for template 11 in these reactions (e.g., thermodynamic, kinetic, positive, negative). First, it is known from the literature that 11 binds to 5C (Ks = 1.2 × 106 M−1) and 6C (Ks = 2.2 × 107 M−1) substantially stronger than it does to either CB[6] (Ks = 550 M−1) or a tetramethyl derivative of 4C (Ks = 5.6 × 104 M−1). This information suggests that the formation of the 6C·11 and bis-nor-seco-CB[10]·11 complexes thermodynamically stabilize these oligomers. Second, the precipitation of the 6C·11 complex suggests that complexation of 6C with 11 changes the maximal solubility of the 6C·11 complex (relative to 6C) which in turn results in precipitation when that solubility limit is exceeded. Third, Figure 2 shows an MMFF minimized model of the 6C·11 complex. An examination of this complex shows that the presence of guest 11 enforces a geometry in which the reactive NH tips of 6C are held apart from each other. This geometrical feature might kinetically disfavor transformation of 6C·11 into CB[6]·11.

Given access to gram-scale quantities of 6C, we decided to study the kinetics of the transformation of 6C and paraformaldehyde into CB[6] in the absence or presence of 11 as template as a means to assess the importance of kinetic stabilization of the 6C·11 complex on the reaction. We conducted the reactions in concd HCl at room temperature with [6C] = 200 mM. Figure 3 shows plots of the mole fraction of CB[6] determined by integration of the 1H NMR spectra recorded versus time. After less than 2 h, the untemplated reaction is complete, whereas in the presence of 11 as template, the reaction is only 2% complete. Clearly, the presence of 11 as template substantially slows down the macrocyclization reaction between 6C and formaldehyde to deliver CB[6] as product.

Accordingly, it appears that 11 as template serves several roles in influencing the outcome of CB[n] forming reactions. These roles include differential thermodynamic stabilization due to complex formation, controlling the solubility of various components of the reaction mixture through complexation, and finally in influencing the kinetics of the macrocyclization step of the CB[n] forming reaction. Because of the complexity of the analysis of such reaction mixtures using templates other than 11, we have not yet been able to expand our study to the full range of potential templates.

Transformation of 6C into Monofunctionalized CB[6] Derivatives. Previously, we have studied the reaction between 2 equiv of N,N′-dimethylglycoluril with α-phthaldehyde (12) under acidic conditions and observed the dominant formation of S-shaped products. However, we reasoned that the reaction between 6C and 12 might be successful because 6C is preorganized to form the C-shaped macrocyclic product (Scheme 3). In the event, we allowed 6C (1 g) to react with 12 in 9 M H2SO4 at room temperature for 36 h. Purification of the crude reaction mixture was easily achieved by a combination of precipitation and washing steps to deliver CB[6] derivative 13 in 72% yield (792 mg). Compound 13 is C2v-symmetric and that is reflected in the simplicity of its 1H NMR spectrum recorded as its 13·11 complex (Figure 4a). The most diagnostic resonances in the 1H NMR spectra are those for host protons H6 (6.89 ppm) as well as H18 and H19 (4.93 and 5.12 ppm) which are upfield shifted due to their proximity to the fused aromatic α-xylene ring. Similar reactions were conducted between 6C and carboxylic acid or nitro-substituted phthalaldehydes which delivered monofunctionalized CB[6] derivatives 16 and 17 in 56% (641 mg) and 58% (65 mg), respectively. Figure 4c,d shows their 1H NMR spectra recorded as their complexes with 11 which reflects the lower C2v-symmetry of these CB[6] derivatives. Finally, we conducted the reaction between 6C (1 g) and 2,3-naphthalenedialdehyde in concd HCl at room temperature and obtained 19 as a precipitate. Simple washing with MeOH delivered 19 in pure form (83%, 0.951 g). Unfortunately, we have not been able to obtain crystals of 13, 16, 17, or 19 that are suitable for X-ray crystallographic structure determination.
To provide some structural information, we minimized the structure of 13 by MMFF calculations (Figure 5). As is readily apparent, the fusion of the o-xylylene ring to the methylene bridges of the CB[6] skeleton results in an overall ellipsoidal deformation of the cavity along the plane defined by the o-xylylene ring. Similar deformations have been observed for CB[6] derivatives prepared from substituted glycolurils42 and for certain CB[6]3 guest complexes.43 Since the main reason to prepare CB[6] derivatives is to use their host-guest binding properties to enable advanced applications, it is critical that the CB[6] derivatives maintain the high affinity and high selectivity binding interactions typical of the CB[n] family. Given the ellipsoidal deformation described above, we decided that it was necessary to experimentally determine the binding constant of these new CB[6] derivatives toward common guests.

Template Effects Also Operate During the Reaction between 6C and 12. The observation of intermediates by 1H NMR during the reactions between 6C and 12 shown in Scheme 3 suggested to us that it might be possible to observe the influence of templates on the reaction between 6C and 12. First, we monitored the kinetics of the reaction between 6C and 12 in the absence of any template (Figure 6a, ) and observed that the reaction delivered 13 over 240 min. Similarly, when NH4+ , which can bind to the ureidyl C dO portals but not the hydrophobic cavities of CB[6] compounds, is used as template, we observe comparable reaction kinetics as the untemplated reaction. The situation is very different when larger diammonium ions 20 and 11 are used as templates. For example, when 6C reacts with 12 in the presence of 20 as template (9 M H2SO4, RT), the reaction very rapidly (<1 min) gives an intermediate ( ) which very slowly transforms (over several weeks) into 13 (Figure 6b, ). However, when the reaction between 6C and 12 was conducted (9 M H2SO4, RT) in the presence of 11 as template (Figure 6b, ), the reaction again rapidly delivers intermediate ( ) that is kinetically stable over the course of several weeks. Attempts to stop either of these templated reactions at the intermediate stage, remove template, and purify by Dowex ion exchange chromatography were uniformly unsuccessful for the reason detailed below. Fortunately, a sample containing predominantly ( ) could be obtained when the reaction between 6C and 12 was conducted in CF3CO2H as

![Figure 4. 1H NMR spectra recorded (400 MHz, D2O, RT) for: (a) 13-11, (b) 19-11, (c) 16-11, and (d) 17-11. Only partial assignments are given in panels c and d because the lower symmetry of 16 and 17 complicates the assignments.](image)

![Figure 5. Stereoview of the MMFF minimized structure of 13.](image)

![Figure 6. Plot of percent product 13 and (±)-21 versus time for the reaction of 6C with 12 (9 M H2SO4, RT): (a) percent 13 in the absence of template (●) or with NH4Cl as template (○), and (b) in the presence of 20 as template (● = percent (±)-21; ○ = percent 13) or 11 as template (■ = percent (±)-21; □ = percent 13).](image)
solvent. We characterized (±)-21 as its (±)-21-11 complex. The electrospray ionization mass spectrum showed a peak at m/z = 613.15 amu which can be rationalized as arising from the reaction between 6C (972 amu), 12 (134 amu), and 11 (138 amu) with expulsion of one molecule of H2O. The 600 MHz 1H NMR spectrum of the complex (Figure 7a) provided key clues which allowed us to determine the structure as (±)-21-11 (Figure 7b). For example, the singlet at 6.59 ppm corresponds to the four symmetry equivalent Ar–H protons (H1) of guest 11 which establishes that the two ureidyl C=O portals of (±)-21 are symmetry equivalent (see Figure 1, CB[6], i-CB[6], 6C, (±)-bis-nor-seco-CB[6]). The upfield region of the 1H NMR spectrum shows a pair of coupled doublets at 4.08 and 4.01 ppm which correspond to the diastereotopic CH2-group (H2, H3) of guest 11 which indicates that (±)-21 is chiral and racemic (see Figure 1, (±)-bis-nor-seco-CB[6]).

The availability of free (±)-21 allowed us to understand the difficulties that we encountered during the attempted purification of (±)-21 during Dowex ion exchange chromatography. When (±)-21 was dissolved in H2O, we observed hydrolysis of the NCOCN-bridge15 which contains two stereogenic centers.45 The availability of free (±)-21 allowed us to understand the difficulties that we encountered during the attempted purification of (±)-21 during Dowex ion exchange chromatography.

Figure 7. (a) 1H NMR spectrum recorded for (±)-21-11 (600 MHz, D2O, RT). (b) MMFF minimized models of one diastereomer of (±)-21-11. Asterisk (*) = stereogenic center.

CB[6] Derivatives Are Capable of Self-Association. Before undertaking the study of any new host system, it is wise to perform 1H NMR dilution experiments to exclude the possibility of self-association interfering with the host–guest complexation events. We found that diluting a sample of 13 (20 mM Na2CO3/CD3OD buffer, pH 4.74) from 2 mM down to 0.06 mM results in a downfield shift of H1 and Hm, and an upfield shift for H6 (Supporting Information). These shifts are consistent with self-association by insertion of the o-xylylene ring of 13 into the cavity of another molecule of 13 which positions H1 and Hm in the cavity of the other molecule of 13 and H6 at the deshielding ureidyl C=O portal. We fitted the data to a 2-fold self-association model and obtain Kself = 1.2 ± 0.1 x 10^3 M^-1 (Supporting Information). Similar dilution experiments performed with 16, 17, and 19 did not reveal any changes in chemical shift which indicate that they do not undergo self-association processes (Supporting Information). Even though 19 does not self-associate, it does form a complex with CB[7], namely, CB[7]-19, whose 1H NMR resonances change little down to 100 μM (Supporting Information). The upfield shifts observed for the naphthalene ring of 19 indicates it is immersed in the cavity of CB[7]. These results highlight the potential of CB[6] derivatives to undergo complexation with themselves or other species and suggests that care must be taken in designing CB[6] derivatives for use in advanced applications.

Host-Guest Complexes and Determination of Binding Constants. Given the observed self-association of 13 and the top-bottom dissymmetry of 16 and 17, we decided to focus our efforts on elucidating the host–guest recognition properties of 19. Chart 2 shows the structures of guests 20 and 22–34 that were studied. Initially, we measured the 1H NMR spectra for 1:1 and 1:2 (host/guest) mixtures of host 19 and guests 11, 20, and 22–34 (Supporting Information). As expected, the majority of these guests formed 19-guest inclusion complexes that display slow kinetics of exchange on the 1H NMR time scale; guests 27, 30, and 34 show fast kinetics of exchange. Just like CB[6], 19 does not form an inclusion complex with adamantine amine 29. Unlike CB[6], 19 forms the 19-27 inclusion complex which suggests that the observed ellipsoidal deformation (Figure 5) of 19 allows it to bind wider guests like 27. Figure 8a–d shows the 1H NMR spectra recorded for 20, 19-20, 26, and 19-26. The observed upfield shifts of the hexylene and p-xylylene regions of guests 20 and 26 confirm their inclusion inside the cavity of 19 as expected. Interestingly, all three CH2-groups of the ‘H,N-(CH2)3NH3+ arms of 26 shift downfield in the 19-26 complex which confirms that these groups are in the deshielding region

Chart 2. Chemical Structure of Guests Used in This Study
nearby the ureidyl C=O portals of 19. Overall, the recognition properties of 19 are qualitatively quite similar to those known for CB[6].

Next, we decided to quantify the 19•guest binding constants to ascertain whether the ellipsoidal deformation induced by the o-xylene ring (Figure S) significantly influences values of $K_a$. First, we measured the value of $K_a$ of 19 toward 30, which undergoes fast kinetics of exchange on the NMR time scale, by a standard NMR titration in which the concentration of guest 30 was fixed (0.125 mM) and the concentration of 19 was changed (0–1.83 mM) (Supporting Information, Figure S51). Figure S52 shows a plot of the chemical shift of the CH3-groups of 30 as a function of [19] and the nonlinear least-squares fitting to a 1:1 binding model (Table 1, $K_a = 852 ± 114$ M$^{-1}$). Subsequently, we used the $^1$H NMR competition methodology, involving competition of an excess of a mixture of two guests for a limiting amount of 30, with its conformational flexibility and its hydrophobic cavity$^7$ to determine $K_a$ values for 19•guest complexes of increasing stability in a stepwise manner. For example, Figure 8e shows the $^1$H NMR spectra used to calculate the relative $K_{rel}$ values for the competition between 19 (0.5 mM), 20 (0.5 mM), and 26 (5.0 mM); we use the integrals for Hn ($0.5$ mM), and 19–26, 7.05 ppm) for this purpose. Table 1 reports the values of $K_a$ obtained for nine 19•guest complexes obtained similarly. The measured values of $K_a$ range from $10^3$ M$^{-1}$ up to $10^7$ M$^{-1}$.

Table 1. Binding Constants ($K_a$, M$^{-1}$) Measured for the Host–Guest Complexes of 19 and Compared to Literature Values for CB[6]$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>guest</th>
<th>host 19</th>
<th>CB[6]$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$2.7 ± 0.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$550 ± 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$4.9 ± 1.2 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$5.7 ± 1.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1.4 ± 0.3 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$2.6 ± 1.4 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$4.3 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$4.7 ± 1.0 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>n.d.$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$852 ± 114$</td>
<td>n.d.$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$3.0 ± 0.6 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$1.0 ± 0.2 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$1.3 ± 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Conditions: 20 mM NaO2CCD$_3$ buffer, D$_2$O, pH 4.74, RT.
$^b$ Taken from Mock and Shih.$^4$ Taken from Isaacs and co-workers.$^5$ n.d.$^c$ not determined.

Scheme 4. Concept of Fluorescence Assay Based on Fluorescent CB[6]-Derivative 19$^a$

(a) Host 19 is excited by UV light and emits fluorescence in response; (b) in the presence of a quenching metal ion, the fluorescence is quenched due to an intra-complex resonance energy transfer from naphthalene to metal; (c) the addition of a guest leads to displacement of the quenching metal ion.

Host 19 Functions as a Turn-On Fluorescence Sensor for Amines. CB[n]$^a$ containers have been used previously to construct a variety of sensing ensembles, most notably those prepared by Urbach and Nau that are used to sense the presence of peptides and even proteins.$^{11,47}$ All these assays are based on the indicator displacement assay$^{48}$ in which guest competes with chromophore or fluorophore for binding inside the CB[n] cavity. We envisioned that CB[6]$^a$ derivative 19 with its covalently attached 2,3-dialkynaphthalene fluorophore, its metal binding$^{20}$ ureidyl C=O portals, and its hydrophobic cavity could form the basis for a new type of fluorescence based CB[n] sensing system. The concept is illustrated in Scheme 4. Briefly, we anticipated that the 2,3-dialkynaphthalene fluorophore of 19 would undergo fluorescence quenching in the presence of certain metal ions due to the heavy-metal effect and/or paramagnetic quenching induced by the binding of the metal ions at the ureidyl C=O portals of 19. Finally, we expected that addition of a competing guest that occupies the ureidyl C=O portals and the hydrophobic cavity of 19 would force the release of the metal ion and result in an increase in the fluorescence.
intensity that would signal the presence and concentration of competing guest.

The Quencher. First, we investigated the ability of a variety of metal ions to quench the fluorescence of 19. Figure 9a shows the percent quenching observed when 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) was treated individually with 300 \(\mu M\) of nine different metal ions. We chose these metal ions because they form stable hydrated ions at pH 7. Qualitatively it is easy to see that only Eu\(^{3+}\) and Dy\(^{3+}\) exhibit significant amounts of fluorescence quenching. We believe that Eu\(^{3+}\) coordinates to the ureidyl C\(=\)O portals of 19 and quenches the naphthalene fluorescence by an intra-complex resonance energy transfer from naphthalene to Eu\(^{3+}\). We selected Eu\(^{3+}\) which is able to quench approximately 60\% of naphthalene fluorescence under these conditions for our further studies. First, we observed the changes in the fluorescence spectrum as a solution of 19 was titrated with Eu\(^{3+}\) (Figure 9b). The inset to Figure 9b shows the nonlinear least-squares best fit of the data to a standard 1:1 binding model with \(K_a = 6.16 \times 10^3\) M\(^{-1}\). The magnitude of this \(K_a\) value dictates that we must use Eu\(^{3+}\) at concentrations at or above a concentration of 1/\(K_a\) (1/6160 M\(^{-1}\) = 162 \(\mu M\)) for efficient quenching in the competition experiments described below.

Use of the Ensemble Comprising 19 and Eu\(^{3+}\) To Sense 20 and the Biogenic Amine Histamine (34). We next decided to explore the use of the ensemble comprising 19 and Eu\(^{3+}\) as a chemical sensor that exhibits a turn-on fluorescence response. For this purpose, we selected 20 as a prototypical guest for CB[n]-type receptors, and histamine 34 which is a biogenic amine that is involved in a variety of biological processes. For example, 34 triggers the inflammatory response, plays an important role in the immune response to pathogens, regulates physiological function in the gut, and acts as a neurotransmitter. Figure 10a shows the fluorescence spectra recorded for a mixture of 19 and Eu\(^{3+}\) upon titration with a solution of 20. As expected based on the design shown in Scheme 4, we observe an increase in fluorescence intensity which is consistent with 20 acting as a competitor for Eu\(^{3+}\) binding toward 19 which results in the formation of 19-20. The analysis of the fluorescence data is shown in the inset to Figure 10a which shows a breakpoint-type-titration at 3 \(\mu M\) concentration which corresponds to the 1:1 19-20 complex. Because the titration at this concentration of 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) consists of essentially two linear segments, it is not possible to fit this curve to obtain a \(K_a\) value for the 19-20 complex under these conditions. Figure 10b shows the fluorescence spectrum obtained when a solution of 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) and Eu\(^{3+}\) (300 \(\mu M\)) was titrated with 34. Similar to the observation for 20, the fluorescence intensity increases as 34 competes with Eu\(^{3+}\) for binding to the ureidyl C\(=\)O portals of 19. We feel that the observation of significant fluorescence recovery in the case of 34, which is a monoammonium ion, is significant. Monoammonium 34 occupies the hydrophobic cavity (Supporting Information Figure S3S) and at least one ureidyl C\(=\)O portal of 19 which might leave the other ureidyl C\(=\)O portal available for binding to Eu\(^{3+}\). The observation of the significant fluorescence recovery means that ammonium ions with a sufficiently large alkyl or aryl residue is sufficient to sterically block the other ureidyl C\(=\)O portal from

Figure 9. (a) Fluorescence quenching at 319 nm of 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) by various metal ions (300 \(\mu M\)). The measurements were carried out in water at pH 7, \(\lambda_{ex} = 266\) nm. (b) Fluorescence spectra of 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) upon the addition of an incremental amount of Eu\(^{3+}\) in water at pH 7. \(\lambda_{ex} = 266\) nm. Inset: Normalized titration isotherm corresponding to the Eu\(^{3+}\)-induced quenching process.

Figure 10. Fluorescence spectra of 19 (3 \(\mu M\)) and Eu\(^{3+}\) (300 \(\mu M\)) in water (pH 7) upon addition of incremental amounts of: (a) 20 and (b) 34. \(\lambda_{ex} = 266\) nm. Insets: Normalized titration isotherm corresponding to the amine-induced fluorescence recovery.
Eu\textsuperscript{3+} binding. The inset to Figure 10b shows the best nonlinear fitting of the fluorescence intensity as a function of [34] to a 1:1 binding model with $K_a = 1.66 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$. The present system responds to 34 in the 20–200 $\mu$M range, in accord with the measured $K_a$ value for 19–34. We expect that this system, based on the highly selective host 19 and its moderate affinity toward 34, has significant potential for use in sensor development especially as a component of sensor arrays.

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have reported that the CB[n] forming reaction conducted between glycoluril (1) and less than 2 equiv of formaldehyde in the presence of p-xyleneediammonium ion (11) as template have a reduced tendency to undergo irreversible formation of CB[n] products which enables the straightforward isolation of 6C and bis-ns-CB[10] by host–guest binding. Template 11 influences the reaction by both kinetic and thermodynamic means: (1) by thermodynamically stabilizing 6C and bis-ns-CB[10] by host–guest binding, (2) by slowing down the rate of transformation of 6C into CB[6], and (3) by causing precipitation of the 6C:11 complex. Hexamer 6C can be transformed into monofunctionalized CB[6] derivatives 13, 16, 17, and 19 in very good yields on gram scale without the need for chromatographic purification. Host 13, but not 16, 17, or 19, undergoes self-association processes which highlights the need to be vigilant in the molecular design of CB[6] derivatives for advanced applications. We studied the 19–guest complexes by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR methods and observed that the recognition properties of 19 are comparable to those of CB[6] in terms of slow exchange kinetics on the NMR time scale and values of $K_a$. Finally, we demonstrated that 19, with its covalently attached naphthalene chromophore and its ureidyl C=O portals and hydrophobic cavity binding sites, undergoes fluorescence quenching in the presence of Eu\textsuperscript{3+} which forms the basis for fluorescence turn-on assays for suitable CB[n] guests like 20 and histamine 34.

We believe the results of this paper have implications that will strongly impact the future development of the synthesis and applications of functionalized CB[n] molecular containers. First, the ready availability of 6C on gram scale and its high yielding transformation into monofunctionalized CB[6] derivatives will allow their incorporation into more complex solution or surface bound architectures for advanced technological or biomimetic applications. Second, the demonstration that both the CB[n] forming reaction and the macrocyclization of glycoluril oligomer 6C with phthalaldehydes is subject to template effects delivers an unprecedented level of control over the formation of CB[n]-type receptors. For example, it is straightforward to predict that the use of larger templates might deliver the currently unknown glycoluril heptamer 7C and octamer 8C as building blocks for CB[7] or CB[8] derivatives and that chiral templates may lead to chiral and enantiomerically pure CB[n]-type receptors for chiral recognition. Third, the demonstration of 11 and derivatives as a diagnostic probe for \textsuperscript{1}H NMR monitoring of CB[n]-type reactions promises to impact all synthetic studies in the CB[n] area. Finally, the ability to prepare monofunctionalized CB[n] derivatives tailor-made with reactive functional groups (e.g., NO$_2$, CO$_2$H) or synergistic structural elements (e.g., fluorophores) has the potential to enlarge the utility of CB[n]-type receptors as components of more complex systems including sensor arrays and for fluorescence imaging applications.
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particular with respect to the orientation of the CH2NH3+ group, we observed after 7 days. We prefer to use diamine 58

The lower isolated yield is not unique. When minimizations are performed from different geometries of the NCOCN-bridge during the reaction between nor-seco-CB6 and bis-ns-CB10 is heterogeneous.

Accordingly, the nature of the vessel closure may influence the outcome of the reaction by preventing escape of HCl during the reaction.

It may seem counterintuitive to wash the reaction mixture with H2O given the known poor solubility of CB6. We believe that the presence of 11 and HCl in the crude solid increase the solubility of CB6 which makes the washing procedure effective.

The MMFF minimized geometry of 6C naphthalene is shown in Figure 2 is not unique. When minimizations are performed from different starting geometries of 6C–11, different minima are obtained which differ in particular with respect to the orientation of the CH2NH3+ groups relative to the mean plane of the aromatic ring. In all cases, however, the reactive NH tips of 6C are still held apart.

Condensation reactions between 6C and the phthalhydrazides are relatively clean and consist predominantly of starting hexamer, the desired CB6 derivative, and the chiral intermediate (e.g., Figure 7). The lower isolated yield reflects the challenges inherent in purification of the reaction mixtures.

The isolated yield reflects the challenges inherent in purification of the reaction mixtures.

Figure 2 shows the reaction between nor-seco-CB6 and CB10 is heterogeneous.

For the preparation of CB6 and bis-ns-CB10, the reaction between nor-seco-CB6 and CB10 is heterogeneous, the desired CB6 derivative, and the chiral intermediate (e.g., Figure 7). The lower isolated yield reflects the challenges inherent in purification of the reaction mixtures.
(45) Two diastereomers are consistent with the ESI–MS and NMR data. Both feature top-bottom connections between N-atoms at the termini of the hexamer unit of (±)-21 and both feature NCOCN-bridges, but differ in the chirality of the two newly formed stereogenic centers. The singlet observed at 6.59 ppm which corresponds to the N(CH)O(CH)N protons signifies that these two protons are equivalent and homotopic. The Supporting Information depicts an MMFF minimized structures of both diastereomers for comparison.


