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Photoinduced Electron Transfer in Naphthalimide-Pyridine Systems: Effect of Proton Transfer on Charge Recombination Efficiencies

Pavel Kucheryavy, Renat Khatmullin, Ekaterina Mirzakulova, Dapeng Zhou, and Ksenija D. Glusac*
Department of Chemistry, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH 43403

ABSTRACT: We studied the effect of proton-coupled electron transfer on lifetimes of the charge-separated radicals produced upon light irradiation of the thiomethyl-naphthalimide donor SMe-NI-H in the presence of nitro-cyano-pyridine acceptor (NO2-CN-PYR). The dynamics of electron and proton transfer were studied using femtosecond pump–probe spectroscopy in the UV/vis range. We find that the photoinduced electron transfer between excited SMe-NI-H and NO2-CN-PYR occurs with a rate of $1.1 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}$ to produce radical ions SMe-NI-H$^+$ and NO2-CN-PYR$^-$. These initially produced radical ions in a solvent cage do not undergo a proton transfer, possibly due to unfavorable geometry between N–H proton of the naphthalimide and aromatic N-atom of the pyridine. Some of the radical ions in the solvent cage recombine with a rate of $2.3 \times 10^{10} \text{s}^{-1}$, while some escape the solvent cage and recombine at a lower rate ($k = 4.27 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}$). The radical ions that escape the solvent cage undergo proton transfer to produce neutral radicals SMe-NI$^+$ and NO2-CN-PYR-H. Because neutral radicals are not attracted to each other by electrostatic interactions, their recombination is slower than the recombination of the radical ions formed in model compounds that can undergo only electron transfer (SMe-NI-Me and NO2-CN-PYR, $k = 1.2 \times 10^8 \text{s}^{-1}$). The results of our study demonstrate that proton-coupled electron transfer can be used as an efficient method to achieve long-lived charge separation in light-driven processes.

INTRODUCTION

Achieving long-lived charge separation (LLCS) is crucial for solar energy utilization. In natural photosynthetic organisms, LLCS is accomplished by a series of electron transfer steps to spatially separate radical ions.1 Within less than a nanosecond, oxidized and reduced species are separated by almost 30 Å. Because electron transfer rates fall off exponentially with distance, spatial separation of radical ions reduces drastically the rate of charge recombination, which is demonstrated by a nearly 100% quantum efficiency of charge separation in photosynthetic reaction centers. Inspired by the efficiency of photosynthetic organisms, chemists have developed many artificial donor–acceptor dyads and triads that achieve LLCS by spatially separating the charges.2–4 Most commonly used components for artificial photosynthetic triads include porphyrins as light absorbers, quinones or methyl-viologens as electron acceptors, and carotenoids or amines as electron donors. The lifetimes of charge separated states in these systems extends even to the millisecond time scale.

Another interesting approach to LLCS is to decrease the reorganization energy required for electron transfer. If reorganization energy $\lambda$ is small, the charge recombination will occur deeply in the Marcus inverted region,5 which drastically increases lifetimes of charge separated ions. The efficiency of this approach has been demonstrated by using fullerenes as electron acceptors.6,7 Due to the high symmetry and rigid structure of fullerenes, the accepted electron is highly delocalized, leading to small solvent and nuclear reorganization energy required to accommodate the newly produced charge (for example, $\lambda = 0.66 \text{eV}$ for Zn-porphyrin/C60 dyad6). In organic photovoltaics, the use of C60 as an electron acceptor has significantly increased the solar conversion efficiency of a device.8

A third approach to LLCS involves a chemical modification of radical ions formed upon electron transfer. For example, $I^-/I_3^-$ couple was shown to be an excellent redox mediator in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC).9 The iodide/triiodide couple is used for the reduction of the oxidized Ru dye (iodide is oxidized in this process: $2I^- \rightarrow I_3^- + e^-$. The $I_3^-$ ion is unstable and undergoes disproportionation reaction to form triiodide ion ($2I_3^- \rightarrow I_5^- + I^-$).10 Thanks to this chemical step, the recombination of electrons in TiO2 and I3$^-$ does not occur at a large extent and contributes to a large conversion efficiency of DSSCs (11.1%).11 The main disadvantage of the chemical modification approach is the loss of electron energy (voltage) that can be extracted from the device ($\sim 0.75 \text{V}$ loss in DSSC).

Much less explored approach toward chemical modification of radical ions involves a simple protonation/deprotonation of radical ions. It is known that the acidic/basic properties of radical ions change relative to their neutral analogs: once oxidized, the compound becomes more acidic, while the reduced compound usually becomes more basic. These changes in acid/base properties of radical ions can be used to neutralize the charges formed upon photoinduced electron transfer and achieve LLCS.
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For example, Gust and Moore studied photoinduced charge separation in carotenoid/porphyrins/quinone (CPQ) triads to form long-lived C∗PQ∗-radical ions.13 The authors found that the protonation of the reduced quinone radical anion to form C∗PQH∗ drastically increases radical lifetimes (from 62 ns in nonprotonated to 2.5 μs in the protonated system). An example for LLCS using deprotonation of the oxidized donor has been reported by Hamachi and co-workers, who studied photoinduced electron transfer in a synthetic heme/Ru(bpy)₃/viologen triad incorporated in the myoglobin protein network.14 The authors found that the lifetime of charge separated state increases 10²–10³ times if the oxidation of Fe-hydroxyl complex is accompanied by the deprotonation of the hydroxyl group (Fe³⁺—OH → Fe⁴⁺—O).

In this study, we wish to expand on our understanding of proton-mediated electron transfer for achieving LLCS. As model compounds, we chose simple naphthalimide/pyridine donor/acceptor systems presented in Scheme 1. To ensure that the electron transfer flows from the naphthalimide to the pyridine, we incorporated electron-donating groups on naphthalimides (SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me) and electron withdrawing groups on the pyridine (NO₂-CN-PYR). Based on pKₐ values of pyridines and naphthalimides, photoinduced electron transfer from SMe-NI-H to NO₂-CN-PYR is expected to be accompanied by the deprotonation of the hydroxyl group (Fe³⁺—OH → Fe⁴⁺—O).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis. 2-Cyano-4-nitro-pyridine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All solvents were purchased from Fischer Scientific and used without further purification. Synthesis of SMe-NI-H was reported previously.17 - 13C NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer. GC-MS spectra were measured using Shimadzu GC-MS-QP050A spectrometer.

N-Methyl-4-thiomethyl-naphthalimide (SMe-NI-Me). SMe-NI-Me was prepared from 4-thiomethyl naphthalic anhydride in a reaction with methylamine. Syntheses of 4-thiomethyl naphthalic anhydride were described previously.17 To a stirred solution of 4-thiomethyl naphthalic anhydride (0.2104 g, 0.8623 mmol) in 100 mL of methanol was added 5 mL of 37% solution of methylamine in ethanol. The mixture was heated for 3 h, cooled down and excess solvent was evaporated. The precipitate was collected and dried in the vacuum. Yield: 70%. MS-EI: m/z 257 [M⁺] calcd
for C₄H₄N₂O₃NS.¹¹ H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ ppm: 8.54 (dd, J₉,₈ = 8.7 Hz, J₇,₆ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.39 (d, J₈,₇ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, J₇,₆ = 7.5 Hz, J₆,₅ = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J₅,₄ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (s, 3H, -SCH₃), 2.74 (s, 3H, -N=CH₃);²³ C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ ppm: 161.2, 161.0, 141.3, 131.4 (3-C), 130.9, 129.6, 126.6, 127.7, 121.9, 118.6, 14.6 (-SCH₃), 12.1 (>N-CH₃).

**Steady-State Spectroscopy.** Absorption spectra were recorded on Agilent 8453 UV spectrometer in a 2 mm quartz cell. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on Edinburgh Instruments Fluorimeter equipped with Xe900 lamp in 1 cm quartz cell. For fluorescence measurements, naphthalimide solutions were prepared with absorption of 0.05−0.1 at the excitation wavelength. SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me were excited at 356 nm.

**Binding Constant Determination.** We evaluated the binding constant between SMe-NI-H and deuterated pyridine in CDCl₃ using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. A shift of the SMe-NI-H N-H peak was monitored as a function of varying concentrations of deuterated pyridine in CDCl₃ prepared with absorption of 0.05. A shift of the SMe-NI-H N-H peak was plotted as a function of pyridine concentration. The binding constant was obtained using the following equation:²⁸

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta \delta} = \frac{1}{K \cdot \Delta \delta_{\text{max}}} \cdot \frac{1}{[\text{PYR-d}]} + \frac{1}{\Delta \delta_{\text{max}}}
\]

where [PYR-d] is the concentration of deuterated pyridine, Δδ is a difference in the N−H chemical shift between SMe-NI-H in the presence and absence of PYR-d, Δδ_{max} is the difference in the N−H chemical shift between SMe-NI-H in chloroform and in pure PYR-d. The binding constant of K = 3 ± 1 M⁻¹ was obtained for SMe-NI-H/pyridine complexation in chloroform.

**Electrochemistry.** Cyclic voltammograms were obtained at room temperature using a BAS Epsilon electrochemistry setup equipped with Pt-working electrode, nonaqueous Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode (0.01 M AgNO₃ in 0.1 M N(C₄H₉)₄ClO₄, chloroform solution), and Pt wire as an auxiliary electrode. Chloroform purification was achieved by passing it through a chloroform solution, and Pt wire as an auxiliary electrode. The solvent was then distilled over CaH₂. For the electrochemical measurements, we used 2 mM solutions of naphthalimides and pyridines in 0.1 M N(C₄H₉)₄ClO₄ in chloroform. Prior to measurements, samples were degassed with argon. Cyclic voltammograms were measured using 100 mV/s sweep rate.

Spectroelectrochemistry was performed to obtain the absorption spectrum of NO₂−:CN-PYR⁻. The reduction of NO₂−:CN-PYR (c = 2 mM in chloroform containing 0.1 M N(C₄H₉)₄ClO₄ chloroform solution), and Pt wire as an auxiliary electrode. The changes in the absorption spectra were recorded using Agilent 8453 UV spectrometer.

**Pump−Probe Measurements.** The laser system for the ultrafast transient absorption measurements was described elsewhere.¹⁹ The 800 nm pulse was generated by a mode-locked Ti:Sapphire laser (Hurricane, Spectra-Physics) at 1 kHz frequency. The pulse width (~110 fs) was determined by autocorrelator (Positive Light). The beam output from Hurricane was split into pump (85%) and probe (8%) beams. The pump beam was sent through second harmonics generator (Super Tripler, CSK) to obtain a 400 nm excitation source (pump). The energy of the pump was 2 μJ/pulse. The probe beam was sent through the delay stage (MM 4000, Newport) and then focused into a CaF₂ crystal for white light continuum generation between 350 and 770 nm. The sample concentrations were 0.4−0.5 mM in chloroform (HPLC grade, stabilized by amylene). The flow cell (Spectrocell Inc., 0.7 mL volume with 2 mm path length), pumped by a Fluid Metering RHY Lab pump (Scientific Support Inc.), was used to prevent photodegradation. Transient absorption spectra were collected using a CCD camera (Ocean Optics, S2000).

**Data Processing and Analysis.** (i) Chirp correction was determined using nonresonant optical Kerr effect (OKE) measurements;²⁰ (ii) Noise was reduced using a singular value decomposition (SVD) method using a code written in Matlab 7.1; (iii) Transient absorption data were analyzed using SPECFIT/32 Global Analysis System (Spectrum Software Associates, MA, U.S.A.). This program allows a decomposition of transient absorption data using kinetic models. The analysis is achieved by a global analysis method that uses singular value decomposition method to reduce the size of the fitted data.²¹ First, we performed global analysis of the data to determine the sufficient number of decay components and obtain the decay-associated difference spectra (DADS). Once we obtained the decay lifetimes, we applied a series of models and the goodness of the fit was judged using the value of root-mean-square error. This procedure provided species-associated difference spectra (SADS) presented in this manuscript.

**DFT Calculations.** All computations were performed at the Ohio Supercomputer Center. Geometry optimizations were performed using Gaussian 03²² with Becke’s three-parameter hybrid exchange functional with Lee−Yang−Parr correlation functional (B3LYP).²³ We used 6-31+G* basis set²⁴ and the solvent effect (chloroform) was calculated using polarizable continuum model (PCM).²⁵−²⁶ All stationary points were confirmed to be energy minima using vibrational frequency calculations (B3LYP/6-31+G*), which showed that all of the computed vibrational frequencies were nonimaginary. Vertical transition energies and difference density plots were then calculated at the TD-B3LYP/6-31+G* level of theory.²⁷

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Excited-State Behavior of Naphthalimides.** In the previous study, we investigated the excited state behavior of a series of naphthalimide derivatives, including SMe-NI-H.¹⁷ We found that the photophysics of SMe-NI-H are dictated by two closely lying excited states: (i) ¹nπ*(S₁) state in which the nonbonding oxygen electrons of the imide moiety are promoted to the π* orbital of the naphthalimide, and (ii) ππ*(S₂) state with a significant charge transfer character (SMe group acts as an electron donor). Pump−probe experiments showed that the initially produced S₂ (¹nπ*) state quickly decays to form a long-lived S₁ (ππ*) state with charge transfer character.

For our current studies of photoinduced electron transfer between naphthalimides and NO₂−:CN-PYR, it is important to show that methylated and protonated naphthalimides exhibit the same excited-state behavior. This assures that the only difference in the electron transfer dynamics of methylated and protonated naphthalimides is caused by the proton motion in the case of protonated systems. Thus, we present here a careful comparison of the photophysics of the methylated naphthalimide SMe-NI-Me with the previously studied protonated analog SMe-NI-H. Figure 1 presents absorption and fluorescence spectra of the two
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**Figure 1.** Normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra of SMe-NI-Me and SMe-NI-H in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ ($c = 60$ μM). Excitation wavelengths for fluorescence spectra was $\lambda = 390$ nm for SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me.

**Figure 2.** $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$ and $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ difference density plots for SMe-NI-Me obtained using B3LYP/6-31+G$^*$ (PCM model for chloroform) methodology. Atom labels: carbon (gray); oxygen (red); nitrogen (blue); sulfur (yellow); hydrogen (white). Red color represents the depletion of the electron density in the excited state, while green represents accumulation of the electronic density in the excited state.

The absorption (fluorescence) of $n$-SM derivatives appear at 390 nm (445 nm), and the methylated and protonated derivatives exhibit almost identical spectral features.

To assign the excited-state character of the methylated derivative, we performed time-dependent density functional theory (TD DFT) calculations for SMe-NI-Me using B3LYP/6-31 +G$^*$ (PCM model for chloroform) methodology. The calculated lowest-energy electronic transition (406 nm for SMe-NI-Me) correlates well with the experimental absorption band (390 nm for SMe-NI-Me) for naphthalimide derivatives. The absorption maximum shifts to lower wavelength (450 nm), and a stimulated emission signal appears at 510 nm. At delays longer than 10 ps, we observed no spectral changes and only a slight decrease of the overall signal occurred by 1300 ps (data not shown). These spectral features are identical with the transient absorption spectra obtained previously for SMe-NI-H,$^{17}$ in analogy to the SMe-NI-H results, we assign the $t = 0$ ps component to the $S_2$ state of SMe-NI-Me (with $\pi$, $\pi^*$ character) and the 10 ps component to the $S_1$ state of SMe-NI-Me (with $\pi$, $\pi^*$ charge-transfer character). Using global target analysis (see Experimental Section for more details), we obtained the spectral features of two states (Figure 3b). The lifetime of $S_2$ state is short (4 ps), and we assign this decay to $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ internal conversion. The $S_1$ state decays with two lifetime components (30 ps and $\sim 6$ ns). The long 6 ns component is assigned to $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ radiative decay, while the origin of the 30 ps component is not clear (it might be caused by the presence of more than one SMe-NI-Me conformer in the solution).

To compare the excited state behavior of SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me, Figure 3c presents the decays at 500 nm recorded for the two compounds. Both decays are almost identical, consisting of the positive signal at $t = 0$ due to the absorption of the $S_2$ state, which decays with a 4 ps lifetime to a negative signal due to stimulated emission of the $S_1$ state. Both decay traces fit well using three lifetimes (4 ps, 40 ps, and 6 ns). The only difference between the two decay traces is in the amplitude of the decay components. Thus, these results further demonstrate that SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me exhibit almost identical excited-state behavior.

**Electrochemistry.** The driving force for photoinduced electron transfer between naphthalimides and NO$_2$-CN-PYR was evaluated using oxidation and reduction potentials obtained using cyclic voltammetry. As can be seen from Figure 4A, cyclic...
voltammograms of both SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me exhibit a reduction peak at $E_r = -1.46$ V and an oxidation peak at $E_a = +1.95$ V versus NHE. All of these peaks are chemically irreversible at the sweep rate of 100 mV/s. Previous studies of unsubstituted naphthalimides showed that they exhibit a one-electron reduction to form the naphthalimide radical anion in the $-1.00$ to $-1.10$ V range, which displays a varying degree of chemical reversibility in different systems.

On the other hand, the oxidation of unsubstituted naphthalimides has not been studied before, because it occurs at a high potential ($E^\text{oo} > 2$ V). For this reason, naphthalimides are generally used as electron acceptors, not donors. Because we are interested in using naphthalimides as electron donors, we added electron-donating groups to the naphthalimide moiety to decrease the oxidation potential. As expected, electron-donating SMe substituent decreases the oxidation potential of naphthalimides to $+1.95$ V.

The reduction of NO$_2$-CN-PYR occurs at $-0.64$ V, showing a chemically reversible and electrochemically irreversible wave (Figure 4B). We performed measurements using acetonitrile as a solvent (Figure 4b, blue curve) and found a reduced degree of electrochemical reversibility for the NO$_2$-CN-PYR reduction peak at $-0.64$ V. Due to the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents, the reduction potential of NO$_2$-CN-PYR occurs at a significantly less negative potential than the reduction of the unsubstituted pyridine ($E^\text{oo} = -2.76$ V in DMF). Using these electrochemical and spectroscopic data, we estimated the thermodynamic driving force for photoinduced electron transfer between naphthalimides and NO$_2$-CN-PYR (Table 1). The estimated $\Delta G$ values demonstrate that the photoinduced electron transfer between excited SMe-NI-H (SMe-NI-Me) is favored by $-0.39$ eV, while the $\Delta G$ for charge recombination is $-2.59$ eV.

Table 1. Estimates of the Driving Force for Photoinduced Electron Transfer and Geminate Recombination between Naphthalimides and NO$_2$-CN-PYR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E^\text{oo}\text{ a}$ (V)</th>
<th>$E^\text{ox}\text{ b}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\text{c}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\text{d}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMe-NI-H</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>$-0.39$</td>
<td>$-2.59$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMe-NI-Me</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>$-0.39$</td>
<td>$-2.59$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Because the oxidation potential is chemically irreversible, we tabulated the potentials of anodic peaks from cyclic voltammograms. $^b$ S$_1$–S$_0$ energy gap ($E^\text{oo}$) was calculated as a half point between absorption and fluorescence maxima (Figure 1). $^c$ Calculated using Rehm–Weller equation: $\Delta G^\text{c} = (E^\text{ox} - E^\text{red}) - E^\text{fo}$ where $E^\text{red} = -0.64$ V (reduction potential of NO$_2$-CN-PYR). $^d$ Calculated using $\Delta G^\text{d} = E^\text{ox} - E^\text{fo}$.

Spectroelectrochemistry was performed to determine the spectral features of radicals involved in the electron transfer. Figure 5 shows the spectrum of NO$_2$-CN-PYR radical anion obtained upon reduction of NO$_2$-CN-PYR at $-1.0$ V versus NHE. Before electrolysis, the absorption spectrum of NO$_2$-CN-PYR consists of a band with a maximum at 280 nm. During electrolysis, we observe the growth of new absorption bands with maxima at 270, 325, and 480 nm, which we assign to the absorption of NO$_2$-CN-PYR radical anion (NO$_2$-CN-PYR$^-$).

Thus, in our studies of photoinduced electron transfer using transient absorption, we expect to observe the growth of an absorption band at 480 nm. In our studies of PCET, we expect the formation of neutral NO$_2$-CN-PYR radical (NO$_2$-CN-PYR$^-$), whose spectrum is not known. However, we expect that the spectrum of neutral radical is similar to the spectrum of radical anion presented in Figure 7.
The occurrence of PCET in SMe-NI-H/NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR was investigated by comparing the dynamics of SMe-NI-H decay with those of SMe-NI-Me. In contrast to SMe-NI-H, which can undergo PCET, the methylated SMe-NI-Me does not have acidic protons and can perform only an electron transfer process. Figure 8 compares the decays of SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me transient absorption signals in the presence of high concentration of NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR. At 625 nm, the only absorbing species is the excited SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me). Thus, the decay at this wavelength is associated with the rate of the forward electron transfer. As can be seen from Figure 8A, the decays of SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me are similar, with a slightly faster decay in the case of SMe-NI-Me. Both decays were fit to a biexponential function: lifetimes for SMe-NI-H were \( t_1 = 40 \) ps and \( t_2 = 903 \) ps, while those for SMe-NI-Me were \( t_1 = 40 \) ps and \( t_2 = 667 \) ps. The 40 ps component is present in all SMe-NI-H samples and was discussed previously. The lifetimes \( t_2 \) are associated with the diffusion-controlled photoinduced electron transfer between SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me) and NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR, and show that the rate is similar, but slightly faster in the case of SMe-NI-Me.
Given the similarity in the decay dynamics \( k_f = 1.1 \times 10^9 \) \( s^{-1} \) for SMe-NI-H and \( k_f = 1.5 \times 10^9 \) \( s^{-1} \) for SMe-NI-Me, Table 2, we conclude that both processes involve only a transfer of the electron, without the accompanying proton transfer. The small difference in the electron transfer rates between SMe-NI-Me and NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR can be assigned to a larger electronic coupling constant for electron transfer between SMe-NI-Me and NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR.

The charge recombination rates were obtained from the decays of SMe-NI-H/SMe-NI-Me signals at 500 nm (Figure 8B). The transient absorption at this wavelength arises due to three signals: (i) excited-state absorption due to S\textsubscript{2} state of SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me); (ii) stimulated emission due to S\textsubscript{1} state of SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me); (iii) the absorption due to NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR radical (or radical anion). The absorption by radical cation of SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me) is not expected to be detectable via transient absorption, as illustrated in previous studies of radical cations of similar naphthalimide derivatives.\(^{40}\) We extracted the contribution due to NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR radical by subtracting the contribution from S\textsubscript{1} and S\textsubscript{2} states of SMe-NI-H/SMe-NI-Me. For this purpose, we assumed that the decay at 625 nm arises purely due to SMe-NI-H excited state. We scaled and subtracted this contribution from the decay at 500 nm, and the NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR radical signal obtained using this approach is presented in Figure 9. We used this approach in previous studies of photo-induced electron transfer in micelles and liquids to extract the dynamics of the Rhodamine B radical.\(^{41,42}\) As can be seen from Figure 9, the decays of NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR\textsuperscript{+} (formed in the presence of SMe-NI-Me) and neutral NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYRH\textsuperscript{+} (formed in the presence of SMe-NI-H) are different. Both signals decay bieperimentally with lifetimes of \( \tau_1 = 44 \) ps and \( \tau_2 = 2340 \) ps in the case of SMe-NI-H and of \( \tau_1 = 75 \) ps and \( \tau_2 = 835 \) ps in the case of SMe-NI-Me. We assign the shorter \( \tau_1 \) component to recombination of radical pairs at a contact distance (in the solvent cage), while the longer-lived component \( \tau_2 \) possibly arises due to the diffusion-limited recombination of the solvent-separated radicals. The values for charge recombination in the solvent cage for SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me are similar (\( k_{b1} \) values in Table 2). Thus, we assign this process to the electron recombination between radical ions, without the accompanying proton transfer. On the other hand, the recombination of solvent-separated radical pairs exhibits and opposite trend: \( \tau_2 \) component is longer-lived in the case of SMe-NI-H, which we assign to be due to proton-coupled electron transfer.

Scheme 2 outlines electron transfer and proton-coupled electron transfer processes that occur in our study. We postulate

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
       & \( k_f \) (s\textsuperscript{-1}) & \( k_{b1} \) (s\textsuperscript{-1}) & \( k_{b2} \) (s\textsuperscript{-1}) \\
\hline
SMe-NI-H & \( 1.1 \times 10^9 \) & \( 2.3 \times 10^{10} \) & \( 4.3 \times 10^{8} \) \\
SMe-NI-Me & \( 1.5 \times 10^9 \) & \( 1.3 \times 10^{10} \) & \( 1.2 \times 10^{9} \) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Rates of Forward and Back Electron Transfer between SMe-NI-H or SMe-NI-Me and NO\textsubscript{2}-CN-PYR (\( c = 0.5 \) M)}
\end{table}
that the photoinduced electron transfer between excited SMe-NI-H (or SMe-NI-Me) and NO₂-CN-PYR forms solvent-caged radical ion pairs with a rate of \(k_f \sim 1 \times 10^{10} \text{s}^{-1}\). Even though SMe-NI-H offers the possibility of the proton-coupled process, we conclude that the proton transfer does not occur during this early charge-transfer process. This conclusion is based on the similarity between \(k_f\) values in SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me model compounds. The possible reason for the lack of proton transfer during the initial electron transfer process is the unfavorable orientation of the imide N—H group relative to the pyridine N-atom. For efficient electron transfer, the donor/acceptor aromatic moieties are oriented in the \(\pi\)-stacked geometry. At this geometry, the proton transfer will not be favored, due to large distance and unfavorable orientation between N—H moiety of SMe-NI-H and aromatic N-atom of NO₂-CN-PYR. Once the solvent-caged radical ions are formed, some of them recombine with the rate of \(k_{b1} \sim 1-2 \times 10^{10} \text{s}^{-1}\). Some radical ions escape the solvent cage and their recombination occurs at a lower rate \(k_{b2}\). In this case, we observe an interesting reversal of rates constant values: the recombination rate becomes slower in the case of SMe-NI-H, suggesting that the solvent-separated ion-pairs undergo proton transfer process to produce neutral radicals. Because the neutral radicals formed upon proton transfer are not attracted to each other by electrostatic interactions, their recombination is slower than the recombination of the charged radical ions produced from SMe-NI-Me. This results in a significant reduction of the \(k_{b2}\) values in the case of SMe-NI-H (\(k_{b2} = 4.3 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\)) relative to that of SMe-NI-Me (\(k_{b2} = 1.2 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\)).

## CONCLUSIONS

We compared the recombination rates of radicals produced in two model systems: (i) SMe-NI-Me and NO₂-CN-PYR, where photoinduced electron transfer produces charged radical ions, and (ii) SMe-NI-H and NO₂-CN-PYR, where proton-coupled electron transfer produces neutral radicals. We initially compared the electronic properties of SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me to demonstrate that the two derivatives exhibit very similar electrochemical and excited-state behavior. Based on their similar electronic properties, we conclude that SMe-NI-H and SMe-NI-Me can be used to study the effect of proton transfer on the rates of radical recombination. Using ultrafast pump—probe spectroscopy, we obtained the rates of forward and back electron transfer in the two model systems. We found that the initial charge separation involves electron transfer to produce radical ion pairs in the solvent cage (with a rate of \(\sim 1 \times 10^{9} \text{s}^{-1}\)). A portion of solvent-caged radical ions quickly recombine (with a rate of \(\sim 1 \times 10^{9} \text{s}^{-1}\)). We postulate that the proton transfer does not occur during these early time events, possibly due to unfavorable orientation of SMe-NI-H and NO₂-CN-PYR. However, a portion of radical ions manage to escape the solvent cage and they recombine at the longer time scale. This process appears to be accompanied by the proton transfer in the case of SMe-NI-H, producing neutral radical pairs. We find that the recombination rate is slower in the case of SMe-NI-H (\(4.27 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\)) than in the case of SMe-NI-Me (\(1.2 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}\)), and we attribute this difference to the lack of electrostatic attraction between the neutral radicals in the case of SMe-NI-H. Our results demonstrate that the proton-coupled electron transfer can be successfully used to achieve long-lived charge separation in the light-driven donor—acceptor systems.
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