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The following faculty positions are available:

Ph.D., in Clinical Psychorogy, 80% FTE, 7-month position, to begin in Fall 2003;
Ph.D., in Counseling Psychology, 100% FTE, 12-month position, to begin in Fall 2003. Contact: Dr. Michael Smith, Department Chair, Psychology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0034. Phone: (859) 257-7920. Fax: (859) 257-4427. Email: mrs@uky.edu.

Faculty staff positions:

The following faculty positions are available:

Ph.D., in Clinical Psychorogy, 80% FTE, 7-month position, to begin in Fall 2003;
Ph.D., in Counseling Psychology, 100% FTE, 12-month position, to begin in Fall 2003. Contact: Dr. Michael Smith, Department Chair, Psychology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0034. Phone: (859) 257-7920. Fax: (859) 257-4427. Email: mrs@uky.edu.

Shop hours extended

Monitor

In observance of the Greek Leadership Conference that will be held on campus, Special Occasions Operations will close at 3:00 p.m. on Friday, March 14, and from 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. on Feb. 18.

Employees join staff

Employees of the shop will be wearing a black apron, a black shirt, and black shoes. Leland Cary, electrician 1, electronics shop, also Friday, part-time shop, plant security, chemistry; Kelly Reddy, library assistant, library and library services, and B. Reegan, office assistant, 2, library and library services. Also, Mary Smith, office assistant, enforcement officer, also Odell Lassiter, plumber, 1, plumbing shop, Annita Estrella, custodial services, plant operations. J. Snyder, custodial, 1. 1. S. States, and J. K. Davis, custodial, 2. Medical Computer Services.

Classified Employment Opportunities

Eligible list: Posting expiration date: 4 p.m. Feb. 11, 2003

2-170 University Life Enforcement Officer 2.50 FTE

As an open competitive examination will be given, all applicants for the position must have a high school diploma or its equivalent. The ideal candidate will also have a degree in criminal justice, law enforcement, criminology, or a related area. An associate degree is required.

The eligibility list can be obtained by contacting the Office of Personnel and Employment, 207 Administration Building, 2200 University Blvd., Bowling Green, KY 42104-0001. For further information, contact [phone number].

Faculty/Staff positions:

The following faculty positions are available:

Ph.D., in Clinical Psychorogy, 80% FTE, 7-month position, to begin in Fall 2003;
Ph.D., in Counseling Psychology, 100% FTE, 12-month position, to begin in Fall 2003. Contact: Dr. Michael Smith, Department Chair, Psychology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0034. Phone: (859) 257-7920. Fax: (859) 257-4427. Email: mrs@uky.edu.
The agenda item of proposed changes to the grade policy was repeated at the Feb. 7 Faculty Senate meeting. The senate had already voted against the change at the Jan. 31 Faculty meeting in light of the changes still to be voted on at the Feb. 7 Faculty meeting.

Some senators complained they did not know enough about the changes before they voted on the changes at the Jan. 31 Faculty meeting. Dr. Peter Hatcher, associate provost for student affairs, said that the changes had been recommended as one of the measures to maintain the standards of the University. The changes are still on the agenda of the Faculty meeting and will be voted on at the early registration meeting of the Faculty, which is scheduled for Feb. 28.

Dr. Hatcher explained that the proposed changes are not the only ones that have been recommended. He said that the changes would be on the agenda of the Faculty meeting and that the faculty would have to vote on them before they could be implemented. The faculty would then have to vote on the changes before they could be implemented.

Dr. Hatcher said that the changes would be on the agenda of the Faculty meeting and that the faculty would have to vote on them before they could be implemented. The faculty would then have to vote on the changes before they could be implemented.

Dr. Hatcher said that the changes would be on the agenda of the Faculty meeting and that the faculty would have to vote on them before they could be implemented. The faculty would then have to vote on the changes before they could be implemented.

Dr. Hatcher said that the changes would be on the agenda of the Faculty meeting and that the faculty would have to vote on them before they could be implemented. The faculty would then have to vote on the changes before they could be implemented.
Senate approves course repeat changes

The agenda item of proposed changes to the policy on course repeat was repeated at the Feb. 7 Senate meeting. Although the revised version was deemed an improvement, the vote was delayed until the next meeting.

Some senators complained they still did not view enough material before the vote. The motion to delay the vote was adopted with six ayes and seven nays. At this time next week, the senators will hear more information before they vote.

Admissions sets earlier deadline

With the university and the faculty senate both not having adopted the new repeat course policy yet, the admissions office was preparing for the 1999 fall semester. To begin the process, the admissions office was preparing for the fall semester by sending out acceptance letters to new students. The policy change also affected the admissions process, as the admissions office would be able to award credits for courses that had been repeated.

The new policy allowed students to repeat courses that they had previously failed. However, the number of times a course could be repeated was limited to three.

The new policy was implemented for students who were enrolled in courses during the 1999 fall semester. The policy change also affected the admissions process, as the admissions office would be able to award credits for courses that had been repeated.
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