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1. Highlighter
Summary of PWC Activities for 1999-2000

PWC was charged with three significant activities for the year. The first was to write a resolution that would facilitate the distribution of $160K as outlined in the Administrative Compensation Plan of the 1998-1999 year. There was a need to determine the criteria by which staff members could be brought up to the midpoint. The second was to develop a resolution recommending implementation of Phase I of the Employee Wellness Program during the 2000-2001 fiscal year. The third, and unfinished, activity was to revise the Non-Compensation Conciliation Process that had been approved in May, 1998.

For the $160K distribution, PWC needed to choose which of three criteria should be used for the 9 year period that staff would need to meet in order to be brought up to the midpoint. One could be just the number of years in position; a second could be number of years as an Administrative employee; and the third could be total years at the University. There were strong reasons why PWC endorsed the second criterion; however, this criterion was not endorsed as the final method of distributing the $160K. Therefore, by default, PWC supported the decision that only number of years in position should determine the issue. PWC was represented in the HP process both for constructing and executing the Appeals Process that accompanied the $160K distribution.

PWC developed a resolution for the implementation of Phase I of the Employee Wellness Program during the 2000-2001 fiscal year. Such resolution was passed by ASC on January 6, 2000.

One member of the administrative staff worked through the conciliation process and found it lacking in support for the employee. He petitioned ASC to rewrite the Conciliation Process for Non-Compensation Plan Complaints. HP indicated at the outset that they would not have time to consider the change until July 2000 at the earliest. Accordingly, PWC can hand over the document to next year's ASC Exec Team as a carryover issue for the new PWC. It is hoped that a September and October reading may establish the new document. This can only happen, though, if HP is involved with the process throughout the summer. We understand that Human Resources' approval is needed in order to facilitate change in this document.
Laura Emch, iklopp, 02:40 PM 2/22/2000, Re: charge for pwc

To: Laura Emch <lemch@bgnet.bgsu.edu>, iklopp
From: "Mary E. Zachary" <mzachar@bgnet.bgsu.edu>
Subject: Re: charge for pwc
Cc: jluthma
Bcc: Attached:
Laura,

Thank you for reminding me at the reception today that we need to talk about the PWC stuff. I'm also sending this to Inge since we can do some preliminary work via email. I'm copying Joe Luchman because he definitely has background from PWC last year and may have much of the paper work you need. He's also on PWC this year as an at-large member.

Here's what we've been discussing regarding PWC: (but there is some background about which we'll need to chat)

PWC

- Assist Human Resources (HR) with the 3rd year of the President's Compensation Plan. hr is not ready to move on this yet. They are finishing up the classified salary survey, then they go into the insurance open enrollment period, then they'll start the identification process. Maybe we could do some leg work for them even if they aren't prepared to deal with the information.... That's where that "relationship" helps.

- Prepare for a discussion with the administration concerning the imposition Caps. (Since many state supported higher education institutions use compensation plans, how are Caps handled at peer/other institutions? Are all constituent groups on the other campus handled in the same way? How/Can we get the institution to recognize the inconsistency of 100% merit and Caps? HR may have a different solution. We have studied the bullet for the past two years, but don't think we can this coming year.) Recca has some thoughts about this that may help, but we don't know if she does until mid academic year.

- Begin Public Relations work to promote a Wellness Program. Prepare for and build a mandate for a wellness program. There will be a survey by the upper administration (through Dick Bowers and a committee) about a wellness program for faculty and staff. (If there is no mandate, the program will not be implemented.) I can tell you that our health care cost have risen in the past year, and wellness programs help to bring down costs. Since we're self-insured it is in our best interests to have a program.

- Work closely with HR to revise the Non-Compensation Conciliation Process. This is basically written, but need to get to the HR folks to see if there are major impediments already in the document. The VP's have rejected an earlier version (can't remember exactly when.) We began building the document last year in PWC, but we need to check it out before proceeding with further drafts. There may also need to be a look at the compensation conciliation process as we'll test that for the first time soon this year.

- Work closely with HR to create a rotation process for the Administrative Advisory Team (JAB) Appeal.) We have a scheme and we've got more people so be on it however, we don't have an established procedure to let those gracious souls off the committee. This could be one of the earlier efforts by PWC (a two party sub-committee who would make contact with a couple of long-term team members and HR (Recca or Donna), get background enough to devise a process for rotation. There is some information gathered already from a couple of team members that would be a good start.

That should be enough to begin the discussions. I don't know if any previous work exists about the Caps issue. Sorry. Maybe Joe remembers.

Does this help?

Mary Beth

At 09:57 AM 2/22/2000 -0800, you wrote:

> Good Morning,
> I would like to receive the 'charge' for the Personnel Welfare committee this year. Inge and I will review it and then set up a meeting with the whole committee to discuss and implement.
> If there are notes from last year that we also need for historical information, I'd appreciate receiving this too.
>

Printed for "Mary E. Zachary" <mzachar@bgnet.bgsu.edu>
May 31, 1995

Memorandum

To: Administrative Staff
From: Pat Green, Chair

I wanted to take one last opportunity to bring you up to date on the various issues we have been working on this year and what will overlap with next year since my term of office will end on June 1. I also want to take the opportunity to thank all the members of Administrative Staff Council who have worked very hard this year on your behalf. Council members this year have spent a great amount of time working on ASC standing committees, University committees, interviewing presidential candidates, and still keeping very busy in professional activities.

- Market Adjustments
  Staff who have requested a market survey should have received the results from Personnel by now. The results have also been forwarded to the appropriate vice presidents. Please note that Personnel does not make any recommendations to the vice presidents. Also, if a market survey indicates a great disparity between your salary and the market, there is no guarantee you will receive that amount. If you feel you are entitled to a market adjustment, discuss this with your supervisor who should then take your case forward to the vice president.

- Position Analysis (Mercer Survey)
  A memo from the steering committee will be sent soon to all staff to fill in some details, answer some questions and provide a timeline. The steering committee has been very busy reviewing each position and raising questions when appropriate. Approximately 1/4 of the positions have been reviewed so far. As more information becomes available, staff will be informed. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact a member of the steering committee. The work of the steering committee will continue into next year through the appeals phase.

- Recommendations for Handbook Changes
  There has been no action from the Administrative Council regarding the proposed changes to our handbook. Vice President Martin indicated that the changes dealing with clarification of salary issues would be taken care of as a result of the Mercer study. The proposed natal leave and change to the FMLA are being researched by Nancy Footer. ASC Executive Committee has indicated to Vice President Martin that we would like, if possible, for the natal leave and FMLA changes to be acted on by Ad Council soon so the changes could go forward to the Board of Trustees at the June meeting. Realistically with the change in presidency and preparation for a transition period, Ad Council may not get to our changes now. The new Executive Committee will continue to follow through on this.

- Salary Recommendation
  I was invited to speak to the Faculty Senate Budget Committee and the University Budget Committee about our recommendations. I shared with them several of the comments that were brought forth at the last ASC meeting which were representative of many staff members. This was a good opportunity to express some of our ideas about how merit is distributed, amount of across the board increase, and that all available money should be used for salary increases. There has been no action yet on the new budget.
• **Standard Performance Evaluation**
  The committee established to create a standard performance evaluation tool has decided to wait until September to proceed. The committee would like to have an opportunity to present the concept to Dr. Ribeau for his input.

• **ASC Scholarship Corpus**
  The goal this past year was to raise the ASC corpus to $35,000. The actual amount is $34,141.20. Thank you to all staff who have contributed to this very worthwhile effort. We are so close to our goal, it would be great to reach it this summer, so we can target a new goal for next year. It is never too late to contribute! Please send any contributions to Carl Peschel and indicate ASC scholarship fund.

• **Requests from Dr. Ribeau**
  ASC has received several requests from Dr. Ribeau. He has requested suggestions for the theme, kinds of things people want to hear and issues addressed at Opening Day. ASC executive committee will brainstorm this at a special meeting. Also, Dr. Ribeau has requested the names of administrative staff members to serve on the search committee for a new Vice President for Academic Affairs. The Executive Committee will make suggestions. We are all very pleased to be included and look forward to future administrative staff involvement.

This past year has been a great experience for me. I have really enjoyed being chair of ASC and representing so many talented and diverse staff members. I had many opportunities to meet new staff and to become more acquainted with others. I want to thank those of you who have provided support and encouragement. I have had many “interesting encounters” and some challenges as well.

Thanks to all of you and good luck in your future endeavors!!

PG:aaf
Summary of PWC Activities for 1999-2000

PWC was charged with three significant activities for the year. The first was to write a resolution that would facilitate the distribution of $160K as outlined in the Administrative Compensation Plan of the 1998-1999 year. There was a need to determine the criteria by which staff members could be brought up to the midpoint. The second was to develop a resolution recommending implementation of Phase I of the Employee Wellness Program during the 2000-2001 fiscal year. The third, and unfinished, activity was to fix the Non-Compensation Conciliation Process that had been approved in May, 1998.

For the $160K distribution, PWC needed to choose which of three criteria should be used for the 9 year period that staff would need to meet in order to be brought up to the midpoint. One could be just the number of years in position; a second could be number of years as an Administrative employee; and the third could be total years at the University. There were strong reasons why PWC endorsed the second criterion; however, this criterion was not endorsed as the final method of distributing the $160K. Therefore, by default, PWC supported the decision that only number of years in position should determine the issue. Accordingly, PWC was represented in the HR process both for constructing and executing the Appeals Process that would accompany the $160K distribution.

PWC developed a resolution for the implementation of Phase I of the Employee Wellness Program during the 2000-2001 fiscal year. Such resolution was passed by ASC on January 6, 2000.

One member of the administrative staff worked through the conciliation process and found it lacking in support for the employee. He petitioned ASC to rewrite the Conciliation Process for Non-Compensation Plan Complaints. HR indicated at the outset that they would not have time to consider the change until July 2000 at the earliest. Accordingly, PWC can hand over the document to next year’s ASC Exec Team as a carryover issue for the new PWC. It is hoped that a September and October reading may establish the new document. This can only happen, though, if HR is involved with the process throughout the summer. We understand that Human Resources’ approval is needed in order to facilitate change in this document.
5/9, Tuesday 11am we'll meet at the Health Center Conference Room. Sandy will chair the meeting as I'll be taking one week of vacation.

There's been some hope expressed by several staff members that PWC could circulate a draft to all ASC reps during this month. This would constitute a 'first reading'. Then the second reading at the ASC meeting in June could culminate in a vote that would send it on to HR for action beginning July 1.

If there's any way we could get a draft ready for ASC exec meeting on 5/16, I think that would give all reps a chance to talk it over with their constituents.

The staff who are hoping for an accord before October, are feeling that until such an agreement is reached, there is no point in pursuing their cause. The current conciliation policy isn't conciliatory.

Joe Luthman
Information Technology Services
DBA
260 Hayes Hall
Phone: (419) 372-7750
Bowling Green State University
FAX: (419) 372-7723
E-Mail: jluthma@bgnet.bgsu.edu
Bowling Green, OH 43403
MOTION FOR BONUS PAY:

The following motion was made and seconded.

A relatively small pool of money should be set aside for bonus pay for capped employees.

After some discussion containing the points above, a vote of 5-0 against the motion was recorded.

The committee felt strongly that the appropriate course of action for all capped employees was to seek market exemption or reclassification.

PROPOSAL to AMEND the HANDBOOK

Unless explicitly prohibited by the grantor of a grant, and with the permission of their supervisor, grant-funded administrative staff positions on terminal contracts of twelve months or less may use their accrued vacation time before completing twelve months of
However, PWC felt it would be even better to amend the handbook so that either
1) administrative staff would need to wait only six months before using accrued vacation
time; or
2) administrative staff could use vacation time as accrued, so long as their supervisor
approved.

PWC felt that obtaining VP approval for all early vacation time simply annoys the vice
presidents for no good business reason. In practice, such requests are rarely refused.
Worse, it sets up the regrettable possibility that a supervisor could refuse the vacation
request, and be overridden by a vice president. Immediate supervisors should be delegated
the authority to okay all vacation requests, even those that occur in the first twelve
months of service to the university.
BONUS PAY.

The question of bonus pay endorsement was discussed. The committee summarized the issue in this fashion.

ARGUMENT FOR:

This year is the first year that capped employees will receive no salary increase, unless the pay grades are increased beyond their present salary level. Bonus pay could help provide incentive for meritorious capped employees to continue their good work. Too, if bonus pay were extended to all Administrative Staff, exceptionally good performance could be immediately rewarded.

ARGUMENT AGAINST:

There are two avenues available to capped employees for enabling salary increases. One is to be classified as market exempt. The other is to have the position re-evaluated for a higher pay grade.

One could argue that failure to qualify for market exemption or for a higher grade does make a statement that the existing pay is already above market levels. Bonus pay is illogical for these cases.

The awarding of bonus pay could deter a capped employee from seeking the more proper avenues already mentioned. Where capped employees are near the end of their working careers, failure to seek re-evaluation or market exemption forces the next employee in that position to fight the same battle. It is best for all administrative staff to have appropriately evaluated positions to be correctly addressed.

Were bonus pay to be significant, monies would probably be subtracted from permanent salary increases.

Prescribing the administration of bonus pay would detract from the current year's task of defining super meritorious pay. The latter must be prioritized, as it affects permanent salary increases.

MOTION FOR BONUS PAY:

The following motion was made, and seconded.

A relatively small pool of money should be set aside for bonus pay for capped employees.

After some discussion containing the points above, a vote of 5-0 against the motion, was recorded.

The committee felt strongly that the appropriate course of action for all capped employees was to seek market exemption, or reclassification.

PROPOSAL to AMEND the HANDBOOK

Unless explicitly prohibited by the grantor of a grant, and with the permission of their supervisor, grant-funded administrative staff positions on terminal contracts of twelve months or less may use their accrued vacation time before completing twelve months of service.

However, PWC felt it would be even better to amend the handbook so that either 1) administrative staff would need to wait only six months before using accrued vacation time; or 2) administrative staff could use vacation time as accrued, so long as their supervisor approved.
PWC felt that obtaining VP approval for all early vacation time simply annoys the vice presidents for no good business reason. In practice, such requests are rarely refused. Worse, it sets up the regrettable possibility that a supervisor could refuse the vacation request, and be overridden by a vice president. Immediate supervisors should be delegated the authority to okay all vacation requests, even those that occur in the first twelve months of service to the university.
Folks,

Just a reminder about the PWC meeting tomorrow at 11am. We'll continue discussion about bonus pay. I can ressend the notes from our last discussion, should any desire.

The President's address begins at 10am tomorrow. Would this affect anyone?

Here are minutes from last meeting.

PWC report
1) We passed along minor handbook changes to Bev Starnes, and noted some changes we'd like to see for the next version.
   a) Information on ARP (Alternative Retirement Program)
   b) Add FERS retirement benefits chart. This is the 5 of best 3 year average that accompanies x years service. Also how many years one needs before the ability to retire.

Both these could be added to the online version. Which also suggests that amending the online version may need an approval process that is both different and/or more prompt than the paper version. As with all online documents, determining the official handbook copy may get ambiguous quite soon.

c) Specify vacation allowances for grant-funded employees. There are discrepancies between these employees as to whether they get ANY vacation.

2) $160,000 salary enhancement.
   Many voiced their concerns over the use of the 'years in position' criterion. Years as an admin staff employee would be a better criterion.

3) Bonus pay.
   We must discuss this next Tuesday. Handbook discussion consumed 1hr 15 minutes.

4) Meeting time change.
   11am on Tuesdays that EXEC does not meet. Place is still in H/Ctr Conference Room.

Joe Luthman
DBA
Phone: (419)372-7750
Fax: (419)372-7723
E-Mail: jluthma@bgnet.bgsu.edu

Information Technology Services
260 Hayes Hall
Bowling Green State University
Bowling Green, OH 43403
Subject: Summary of Bonus Pay discussion during PWC meeting of 2/1/2000

Members present: Josh Kaplan, Anne Saviers, Mike Fitzpatrick, Joe Luthman

Please send back comments and/or editing of this summary of the discussion we had during the PWC meeting on Tuesday 2/1/2000. I've kept the summary as succinct as possible. Where it's not understood, pls indicate.

WHAT: We'll need to either endorse the concept of bonus pay or not. If we endorse, we move forward to describe its use and allocation of funds. If we do not, we defend why and suggest better avenues for additional compensation funds.

Argument for.
1) incentive for exceptional performance. Extremely meritorious employees could gain additional one-time bonus pay.
2) This may be the only way that capped employees can earn additional pay.

Argument against.
1) Salary pool for merit pay increases may be negatively affected.
2) The bonus pay issue may divert attention away from the definition of super merit.

Workarounds, ways to avoid the need for bonus pay.
Capped employees can escape the no-zazz dilemma if their managers can make them market exempt (is this the correct term?)... Market evaluations for all capped employees should be performed. If market exemption is warranted, then this is the proper approach. If not, then bonus pay should not be used to continue augmenting a salary that is already inordinately high. (Aside - this may or may not be my opinion. But I find it more helpful in these discussions to use direct statements. If these statements provoke no controversy, then there may be a strong sentiment that they are commonly accepted)

The activities for which bonus pay should be used.
1) Long standing work improvements.
2) One-time money saving business process change.

How bonus pay should be administered.
DOT should administer different dollar amounts to the vice presidents in accordance with how their unit fared in the last year. VP's should administer these dollars to their staff (excluding themselves).

Justification: Allocating equal funds to all VP's based on how many employees are in their unit would simply be another 'across the board' type of increase. If real bonus pay is desired, then it follows that some win, some don't.

SUBJECT 2: Meeting time change.
Our schedules often change between semesters, especially for contract staff who teach. Mike Giles would very much like to continue participating with PWC but is teaching a class on Tuesdays during our scheduled meetings. If it's not too difficult to find another
meeting time, let's give it a try. Accordingly, please list the times of the week when you CANNOT meet.

Ex. Every other Thursday 10:30 to 12
    Last Friday of months from 10 to 12

If it's easier to list times of the week when you CAN meet, feel free to use this approach.

Please use whichever method saves you time.
Claudia Clark, 12:07 PM 2.24.2000, Fa: ASC Minutes - Salary

X-Sender: caclark.bgsu@bgnet.bgsu.edu
Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2000 12:07:55 -0500
To: "John M. Clark" <jclark2@bgnet.bgsu.edu>
From: Claudia Clark <caclark@bgnet.bgsu.edu>
Subject: Re: ASC Minutes - Salary
Cc: lopz@wbgu.bgsu.edu, mzachar@bgnet.bgsu.edu

02/24/2000 12:04:08 PM,
Serializd by POP3 Server on MAIL04/SERVER/BGSU (Release 5.0.2b | December 16, 1999) at
02/24/2000 12:08:10 PM,
Serializd complete at 02/24/2000 12:08:10 PM

John--near as I can figure, your recourse is as follows: at some appropriate time at the next meeting (possibly when the time comes to vote on the January minutes or under during old business) ask for the floor and then say:
"I move to reconsider the vote on the approval of the December minutes" The chair will ask for a second and then a vote. Majority rules. Then the chair will ask for discussion at which point you will present the amended language that you are requesting.
The group will then be invited to discuss your request, than the December minutes will again be voted on, this time "as amended".
Claudia

John--I will need to research this in Roberts rules. Will do so as time permits.
Claudia

> Jodi:
> You point out a mistake in punctuation that I didn't catch--what we in
> English call an "unclear referent." What's (we hope) being taken to Dean's
> Council is the previously mentioned item--"overload" compensation for Ad
> Staff teaching. The reference is indeed very muddy--I should have taken it
> up with Claudia Clark, who takes the minutes--and UNIV stipends have
> nothing to do with our intention to approach Dean's Council. Your stipends
> are entirely another matter--related to Ad Staff teaching only
> tangentially. If it's not too late for a change, I'll offer Claudia a way
> to revise these statements for greater clarity. What the minutes _should_
> have said is something like... "although UNIV 100 is now offering
> stipends--and the issue of Ad Staff teaching compensation is being taken to
> the Dean's Council."
>
> Apologies,
> John Clark

> Hi John -
> I was reviewing the ASC minutes and was wondering if you could clarify a
> statement in the Salary committee report related to the UNIV 100 stipend we
> are now awarding to our instructors. What is also reported is that there are
> programs such as UNIV 100 that have typically relied on volunteers,
> although UNIV 100 is now offering stipends and that the issue is being
> taken to the Dean's Council" I am wondering about the reference to Dean's
> Council - what does that mean? Are all "official" with awarding our
> stipend and I am wondering who is taking this to Dean's Council?
>
> Thanks -
> Jodi Webb

> Salary: John Clark reported that the committee is continuing to meet with
> Rebecca Ferguson and has clarified a few more issues about the teaching
> compensation issue (e.g., exactly how much teaching is being done by
> Administrative Staff). He also stated that compensation has usually been
> at the faculty overload rate of $500.00 per credit hour (less than the rate
> for part-time faculty), but the committee is uncertain as to whether this
> rate is mandated by the charter. The committee will be looking into this
matter. He also reported that there are programs such as UNIV 100 that
have typically relied on volunteers, although UNIV 100 is now offering
stipends and that the issue is being taken to the Dean's Council. Lopez
then suggested to John Clark that the Salary Committee might be interested
in working with the Personnel Welfare Committee on a plan to reward
meritorious Administrative Staff who have reached the cap of their grade
level's salary range.

Jodi Webb, Director
First Year Experience Program
Bowling Green State University
406 Moseley Hall
Bowling Green, OH 43403
(419)372-9348 phone
(419)372-9686 fax

Dr. John Clark
General Studies Writing
Bowling Green University
Bowling Green, Ohio 43403
Minutes of Administrative Staff Council Meeting
January 6, 2000

Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 1:25 by Chair, Paul Lopez

Members Present: William Blair, Pat Booth, Deb Boyce, Sidney Childs, Claudia Clark, John Clark, Michael C. Giles, Jr., Kay Gudehus, Linda Hamilton, John Hartung, Keich Hafacker, Tony Howard, Sandy Lagro, Thad Long, Paul Lopez, Joe Luthman, Pamella Phillips, Cheryl Purefoy, Jane Schimpf, Mary Beth Zachary

Guest/s: Scott Borgel- Monitor, Linda Dobb- Interim Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, Rebecca Ferguson-Assistant Provost, Human Resources

Members who sent substitutes: Suzanne Fahrer for Laura Emch, Sue Swayer for Mary Lynn Pozniak, Jeff Grilliot for Anne Saviers, Ann Bowers for Diane Smith, Gans Palmer for Laura Waggoner, Diane Pagan for Matt Webb

Absent: Linda Bakhuis, Marilyn Brassett, Nancy Coy, Ron Knopf, Sr., Jane B. Myers, Penny Nemitz, Nancy Venderlugt

Approval of Minutes: Charyl Purefoy moved, Jane Schimpf seconded, and the minutes were approved as distributed.

Guest Speakers: Linda Dobb and Rebecca Ferguson facilitated a discussion about the current situation regarding the distribution of the $160,000 called for in President Ribic's Compensation Plan as it pertains to Administrative Staff. Ferguson initiated the discussion by reporting that Human Resources is continuing to look at the data regarding where people are in the salary range at their grade level and their years of experience. She also indicated that a large number of Administrative Staff with nine or more years of experience were still below the first quartile of their range. She stated that the Compensation Committee is close to making a decision about this year's distribution.

Dobb stated that she and Ferguson would be meeting with the Compensation Committee tomorrow to make some recommendations that would need to be examined by the University attorney prior to being implemented and that she and Ferguson were not at liberty to disclose the recommendations until then. She further asserted that she was convinced that the plan would now go forward, although it was not expected to perfectly address the needs of all concerned.

Ferguson then said that there had been promises made to people with five or more years of experience and that there was a commitment to honoring these promises.

The ensuing discussion resulted in the following conclusions:
(1.) that decisions will be made on the basis of years of experience, not years at the university per se (Dobb)
(2.) that the approval by the University attorney and review by the Department of Labor is expected to take until about the end of January (Dobb)
(3.) that when a person's salary was last adjusted and the impact of the $62.00 market adjustment earlier this year will be factors in decision-making about distribution (Ferguson)
(4.) that market exceptions may need to be made to address the salaries of those in departments or areas that are special recruitment challenges such as information technology (Ferguson)
(5.) that ASC needs to acknowledge that the current administration is attempting to do what is right in the absence of external motivators and that it will not be possible to please everyone (Luthman)
(6.) that it has always been the intent to address those with five to nine years of experience as of June 30, 1999 but that the funds available for this fiscal year will only be sufficient to address those with 9+ years (Dobb)
(7.) that there are no commitments being made beyond those stated by Dobb and Ferguson in their opening remarks and that, in the future, years of experience will not be a criterion for adjustments because it is not a criterion in a market-driven system (Ferguson)
(8.) that market data is not available for all positions, but that Human Resources is working on other ways of getting data (e.g., creating salary surveys, asking CUPA to add categories, identifying other databases) and, in fact, routinely asks employees to get data if they believe they are dealing with a salary compression issue (Dobb and Ferguson)
(9.) that there may be a time when it will be helpful for ASC to develop a resolution regarding the Compensation Plan, but it is not necessary at the present time (Dobb and Ferguson)
(10.) that there are still many questions to be addressed and that administration is open to doing so (e.g., how do we stay competitive in the market for what works to reward and motivate, are these compensations other than salaries that can do so, etc.) (Ferguson)
(11.) that it is unlikely that we will ever develop a system in which an employee can expect to be at first quartile by year X of employment and at midpoint by year Y of employment (Luthman reframing comments by Ferguson)
(12.) that Human Resources will continue to address compensation issues at the unit level when possible (Ferguson)
(13.) that Human Resources is in the processing of hiring to fill a permanent position in which the person will report to Donna Wittke, and will deal only with compensation issues. This person will work toward building a database "for all time" (Ferguson)
(14.) that Human Resources will also have a "manager of employee relations" beginning July 1, 2000. An existing position will be restructured and the person in the position will be responsible for dealing with complaints, disciplinary procedures for classified staff, and training departments on how to hire

Chair Report:
Paul Lopez reported that the Provost candidate sessions with Administrative and Classified staff finished up on December 10th. He thanked Mary Lynn Poczniak and the External Affairs committee for their good job of making sure that Administrative Staff had good representation at all of the sessions.

He also reported that the leadership team (Lopez, Zachary, and Clark) represented Administrative Staff at the following meetings:
(1.) Board of Trustees meeting on 12/6. Primary business affecting Administrative Staff was the passing of the changes in the fse waiver benefit outlined in the handout that was distributed. At the Academic and Student Affairs committee meeting, Lopez learned of the change in University policy to inform parents of students' underage drinking (2nd offense). Jill Carr is the contact for specific details. Lopez also learned of the "Peer-based misperception programming" Terry Penter of the Journalism department is doing to address the alcohol problem on our campus. Lopez expressed his opinion that ASC as a group should do something to support the efforts to combat binge drinking.
on the BGSU campus. He suggested the following options and invited feedback and additional ideas from others: 1) contact the appropriate group on campus (Wellness Connection) and see if a resolution from ASC would help them in their efforts, 2) ask how ASC could further the education with student employees in our areas through out the University, 3) volunteer to help with the February 25th "Big Playground" event designed to promote fun without alcohol.

(2.) Lunch scheduled with Eileen Sullivan & Interim Provost Dobb (12/9), but not attended by Sullivan. The following information and questions were shared with Dobb:
- Lopez's understanding of the timetable for the communication of what will happen in this upcoming second year of the Compensation Plan. Confirmed that late February to March was the time President Ribeau said he would have the figures he needed to make this communication.
- Lopez asked whether or not a communication from President Ribeau would be appropriate in motivating those that have not yet submitted Merit documents. Dobb replied that the main areas that needed to report were academic and that she would be handling this.
- Lopez also requested that Dobb to give copies of the ASC draft resolution and the historical paperwork on a comprehensive Wellness Plan to Eileen Sullivan in the hopes of getting feedback from Ribeau about the plan.

(3.) Breakfast meeting with the Director of Human Resources Rebecca Ferguson (12/14).
- Lopez sought input on the Wellness resolution proposal to let her know it was coming to a vote at today's meeting. He also asked if there were anything ASC could do to help make this year's compensation distribution happen. Ferguson suggested taking it up with Sullivan and Ribeau.

(4.) ASC Executive Committee met 12/14 and was invited to a combined session with PWC on 12/21. Primary discussions were on the two PWC resolutions, Wellness and Compensation. The Wellness resolution is being presented today. The Compensation resolution is to be reviewed by the Executive Committee next Tuesday (1/11). A Parking committee representatives was asked to attend the 12/14 meeting but was unable to do so. They will, however, attend the 1/11 Executive Committee meeting.

Chair-Elect Report: Mary Beth Zachary reported on the current financial situation of ASC. She stated that ASC started the fiscal year with $2,566 and that there is currently a $1,712.98 balance. It is anticipated that this will be sufficient to meet ASC's needs for the remainder of this fiscal year.
Zachary also reminded those assembled that ASC elections would begin soon. She encouraged everyone to think seriously about running for office for next year. She also stated that she intended to continue using the present model of a leadership team during her tenure as chair.

Secretary's Report: none

Committee Reports:

Amendments: no report

External Affairs: no report

Awards and Special Recognition: Tony Howard reported that a mailing would be going out seeking nominations for the BG Bisk Awards. He also said that the committee was finishing up their plan to institute a "Spirit of BG" award which would include a gift of flowers in a hand-blown vase. Howard also indicated that Laura Waggoner would be speaking with someone about putting the new Ferrari award on a kiosk instead of a plaque in the Student Union when it reopens. Waggoner is reportedly investigating the possibility that the Union might pay for it.
Internal Affairs: Linda Hamilton reported that the ASC website would be finalized next week.

Personnel Welfare: no report

Professional Development: Laura Ench reported that the next meeting of the committee will be next Tuesday. The business to be addressed at this meeting will include choosing the topics for the ASC conference which will be organized around the Core Values. Key Gudehus invited those assembled to let the committee know of any possible topics of interest. Ench also reported that decisions about professional development grants will be made at these meeting. Lopez advised that the committees keep track of the number of applications with a view towards possibly getting additional funding for this in the future.

Salary: John Clark reported that the committee is continuing to meet with Rebecca Ferguson and has clarified a few more issues about the teaching compensation issue (e.g., exactly how much teaching is being done by Administrative Staff). He also stated that compensation has usually been at the faculty overload rate of $500.00 per credit hour (less than the rate for part-time faculty), but the committee is uncertain as to whether this rate is mandated by the charter. The committee will be looking into this matter. He also reported that there are programs such as UNIV 100 that have typically relied on volunteers, although UNIV 100 is now offering stipends and that the issue is being taken to the Dean's Council. Lopez then suggested to John Clark that the Salary Committee might be interested in working with the Personnel Welfare Committee on a plan to reward merituous Administrative Staff who have reached the cap of their grade level's salary range.

Scholarship: Cheryl Pusfoj reported that a letter is being sent out as announced in the e-mail she sent to the listproc earlier this week regarding the scholarship raffle.

Ad Hoc Committee on Handbook Revision: Mary Beth Zachary reported that a draft of the handbook is expected to be ready for the Executive Committee to look at in the next two weeks, but the final version will probably not be ready for the March Board of Trustees meeting.

Old Business: Sandy LaGro discussed issues related to the Compensation Plan including the issue of what the Personnel Welfare Committee's role could be vis a vis the plan given the information shared by today's guest speakers. Lopez indicated that it might be appropriate to draft a resolution to inform administration of ASC's preferences regarding the distribution of available funds. LaGro then presented the resolution calling for a comprehensive Employee Wellness Plan for the consideration of those assembled. There was no discussion. Keith Hozacker moved, Michael Giles seconded and the resolution was passed as distributed.

New Business: none

Good of the Order: Mary Beth Zachary reported that the library now has copies of Microsoft software available for staff to borrow to install on their work and home computers. These include Office 98 and Front Page for Macintosh, and Windows 98 and 95, Visual Studio Probe, and Office 2000 for PC's. All of these programs are on CD-ROM. Technical questions related to installation or use of these programs should be directed to ITS. Cheryl Pusfoj reported that the Copy Center in University Hall will be open starting Monday January 10. Key Gudehus reported that the information regarding President's Day was distributed with the incorrect date. The correct date is Monday February 21. A correction letter will be going out.

Michael Giles moved and Jans Schimpf seconded to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:50 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,
Claudia A. Clark
Secretary
Becca Meeting  
1/11/2000

PWC Resolution on Wellness...distribution to Eileen/President

What can you share about the meeting on Friday regarding distribution of the $160,000?

What about appeals to years in position determinations?

We've tasked Salary and PWC to look at how we can advise a fair and consistent treatment (with regards to pay) to those who find themselves at their salary caps this year. Would you want us to work with someone in your office?

Non renewals.

Froze no go.

Salary adjustment

Salary adjustment will not be an open criterion

To Nevada  
To in Athletics

I commend this
Joe I am sorry I have not had time to return your phone call - wild week. I am going to leave getting you and Donna together to the two of you. Donna and I have talked about the requests (as Paul has articulated much better than I did). Donna knows if the two of you need my assistance to let me know.

Regarding your question on amount of time this will all take. Donna could give you a better idea and I think you will have a better notion after the two of you have met.

Thank you for being willing to help. There are just not enough of us over here with the necessary skills to get everything done PWC has requested due to the fact I have Donna focused in some very specific directions.

Joe both Donna and I have a high level of respect for your abilities and trust you, so thank you again!! Becca

At 02:05 PM 11/16/99 -0500, Paul G. Lopez wrote:

Joe...

At this morning's meeting with Becca Ferguson, we requested information that would give us a better picture on just who would be adversely affected (not receive but maybe should receive and adjustment) by the new "market adjustment" distribution plan (the Mid-point to Maximum). Becca felt Donna Witwer could not get this information to us in the near future. We suggested it shouldn't be too difficult to run this info out from their database and that Donna said she suggested in September this was data we would get. Becca assured us it wasn't an easy thing. We suggested we could get someone to help Donna. She said it would have to be someone Donna trusts. She suggested you and that you give her a call. We're hoping you want to do this...

In the meeting on 11/2 which I was unable to attend, Becca suggested PWC could help HR with identifying AS positions that were not submitted to CUPA and the Mid-level CUPA then making sure these identified positions don't fit already submitted positions but just have different titles. I sense the process of this exercise to identify those positions HR will need to do independent Market searches on for the 3rd year of the current iteration of the compensation plan.

Further, HR would like to hear from PWC regarding what Administrative staff would like to see in incentive/bonus situations.

Please consider the Becca request for your help with Donna and please pass the other two items on to PWC.

Becca is looking to get the Provost and herself to the December 2nd ASC meeting to spell out the compensation "paradigm", answer questions, give reasons for "why not", etc. No confirmation as yet.

Paul

Paul G. Lopez
Production Manager
Tucker Center for Telecommunications