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**Effective Treatment of an Apparent Lateral Ankle Sprain Using a Regional Interdependent Approach with Positional Release Therapy and Mulligan Concept**

Joshua K. Underwood, DAT, LAT, PRT-c, CPN  
University of Nebraska-Kearney

**Objective:** Present a pair of clinical cases demonstrating the utilization of regional interdependent (RI) evaluation and treatment in an apparent lateral ankle sprain. The utilization of both Positional Release Therapy (PRT) and Mulligan Concept (MC) on secondary school athletes with an apparent acute lateral ankle sprain are presented. **Background:** Lateral ankle sprains are a common injury in athletics. While the MC has been shown to be effective manual therapy in ankle sprains, if the treatment is not pain-free it is considered not warranted. The concept of RI attempts to connect apparently unconnected dysfunctions within the body which collectively contribute to a patient’s complaint of pain or pathology. **Treatment:** The patients were successfully treated in one treatment session using a RI approach after failing to obtain a PILL effect while using MC during their initial evaluation. The patients experienced minimal clinically-important changes on a variety of patient related outcomes. **Uniqueness:** To the author’s knowledge, there are currently no published articles reporting the use of RI methods of evaluation and treatment in apparent lateral ankle sprain pathologies. **Conclusion:** The utilization of an RI approach as an evaluation and treatment technique in patients suspected of having acute lateral ankle sprains. **Keywords:** Ankle sprains, Regional interdependence, Positional release therapy
several minutes. Once the fasciculation has ceased (e.g., sub grade spasms, heat, pins and needles) the clinician slowly brings the body part back to a neutral position and reassesses the TP. Positional Release Therapy studies have provided encouraging evidence as an effective therapeutic modality for a variety of pathologies.

A form of joint mobilizations called the Mulligan Concept (MC) is a form of manual therapy in which the clinician applies a sustained passive accessory glide while the patient performs an active movement, also referred to as mobilizations with movement (MWM). One of the primary principles of MC is the PILL response in whether or not MWM are warranted. PILL is an acronym for Pain-free, Immediate effect, and Long Lasting. If a PILL response is not present during an MWM, then the MC intervention is not indicated and the clinician should try alternative methods of therapy.

The purpose of this case report is to present the effectiveness of utilizing a RI approach in the treatment of an apparent LAS when a PILL response was not found initially and the patients complained of ipsilateral hip pain while performing dorsiflexion (DF) Theraband© exercises. Patient related outcomes were collected involving pain, function, and disability to evaluate the effectiveness of this novel RI approach.

**CASE REPORT**

Patient one was a 17-year-old male basketball player who came into the athletic training clinic with a primary complaint of left lateral ankle pain after landing on a teammate’s foot during a layup drill 30 minutes into practice. The patient stated the pain was a 4/10 on the numerical rating scale (NRS) while weight bearing (WB), 7/10 NRS for running, and 4/10 NRS with palpation over the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL). The patient completed all outcome measures prior to treatment (Table 1). Patient one presented with slight laxity with anterior drawer test, tender to palpate over the ATFL, normal gait pattern, and lacking 17° of dorsiflexion (DF) in his left ankle when compared bilaterally. Patient one was classified with a grade two lateral ankle sprain (Table 2). The primary researcher attempted to find a PILL response with the MC fibular glide; however, no PILL effect could be found. When patient one attempted to perform TB resisted DF with a blue band, the patient complained of lacking the ability to perform said movement and simultaneously stated they had ipsilateral anterolateral hip pain. After further evaluation, patient one had a TP at the Sartorius origin on the ipsilateral limb (Figure 1A).

Patient two came in about two months later with a very similar presentation. Patient two was a 16-year-old female lacrosse player who stepped into a divot on the field 25 hours earlier causing the right ankle to invert. The patient complained of pain with running, cutting, WB, and shooting.

After collecting outcome measures, the patient presented with only slight laxity with anterior drawer test, TP over the ATFL and Sartorius origin, lacked 15° of DF, absent PILL effect with MC fibular glide, and pain with performing TB DF exercises (see Table 1). Patient two was similarly classified as sustaining a grade two lateral ankle sprain.

**OUTCOME MEASURES**

Pain was assessed using the NRS while the patients performed a weight-bearing SLS. The NRS is an 11-point Likert scale for patients to self-report pain and is intended for adults and children aged 10 years and older. A rating of 0 indicates no pain, a score of 1-3 indicates mild pain, a score of 4-6 indicates moderate pain, and a score of 7-10 indicates severe pain. A minimal clinically important difference (MCID), which is the smallest amount of change that is meaningful to the patient, for the NRS is any change greater than or equal to 2.0.
Table 1. Outcome Measures Through Treatment, Discharge, and Follow-ups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>PRO</th>
<th>Initial Evaluation</th>
<th>Post 1st PRT Treatment</th>
<th>Post PRT &amp; MC First Treatment</th>
<th>24 hour Follow-up</th>
<th>1 Week Follow-up</th>
<th>2 Week Follow-up</th>
<th>1 Month Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF AROM</td>
<td>-5°</td>
<td>12°</td>
<td>12°</td>
<td>13°</td>
<td>11°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATF NRS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sartorius NRS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF NRS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLS NRS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.5°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walking NRS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.5°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Running NRS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7°</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DPA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFSF</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>8.3°</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAAM</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>83°</td>
<td>98°</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAAM sport</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>75°</td>
<td>100°</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>PRO</td>
<td>Initial Evaluation</td>
<td>Post 1st PRT Treatment</td>
<td>Post PRT &amp; MC First Treatment</td>
<td>24 hour Follow-up</td>
<td>1 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>2 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>1 Month Follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF AROM</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>17°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>16°</td>
<td>18°</td>
<td>18°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATF NRS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sartorius NRS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF NRS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLS NRS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walking NRS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Running NRS</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DPA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFSF</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAAM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAAM sport</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRS = Numerical Rating Scale; DPA= Disablement in the Physically Active; PSFS= Patient Specific Functional Scale; FAAM= Foot and Ankle Ability Measure; The use of "~" indicates a score was not recorded at this point; The utilization of "°" indicates the degree of movement measured in which the patient is lacking movement in regards to neutral.
a Denotes minimal clinically-important difference from previous measurement.

Numeric Rating Scale values were recorded during palpation (specifically ATF and Sartorius origin) (Figures 1A), performing DF, walking, running, and performing a SLS by standing on the involved ankle with no shoe or sock on while holding the contralateral hip/knee at 90° flexion for 10 seconds. Numerical rating scale measurements were recorded during initial evaluations, post-interventions, 24 hours after treatment sessions, and at discharge.
Table 2. Lateral Ankle Sprain Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sprain Classification</th>
<th>Anterior Drawer</th>
<th>Figure 8 Measurement</th>
<th>Tender to Palpate</th>
<th>SLS</th>
<th>NWB ROM</th>
<th>Gait Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>No pain or laxity</td>
<td>.5 cm or less</td>
<td>Little to no pain over ATF</td>
<td>Little to tolerable pain</td>
<td>Within 7° of contralateral movements</td>
<td>Normal with little to no pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Laxity present with/without pain</td>
<td>Between .5 and 2.0 cm</td>
<td>Little to moderate pain over ATF</td>
<td>Moderate pain</td>
<td>Greater than 7° difference of contralateral movements</td>
<td>Antalgic gait present with pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Laxity with no end point</td>
<td>≥ 2.0 cm</td>
<td>Extreme pain over ATF</td>
<td>Extreme pain to unable to perform</td>
<td>Greater than 10° difference of contralateral movements</td>
<td>Unable to perform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Lateral Ankle Sprain Classification\textsuperscript{1,4,31-33}  
SLS=Single Leg Stance; NWB ROM=Non-weight bearing range of motion

Figure 1
Examples A-C picture the RI TrP and PRT technique utilized for the apparent lateral ankle sprain. (A) TrP along the ipsilateral Sartorius origin indicated by the “X”. (B) Caudal view of the PRT Sartorius release technique. (C) Side view of the PRT Sartorius release technique.

The Disablement in the Physically Active scale (DPA) is a patient related outcome designed to assess the patient’s perceived functional ability in performing more active or sports related movements deemed meaningful to the patient. Each movement/activity is scored from 0 (“unable to perform”) to 10 (“able to perform the activity/movement at the same level before injury”).\textsuperscript{30} Scores for PFSF can be analyzed individually or as an average score of all activities/movements.\textsuperscript{30} The MCID for PFSF is 2 points for analyzing an average score or 3 points for an individual movement/activity.\textsuperscript{30}

The foot and ankle ability measure (FAAM) is a reliable patient related outcome (PRO) measure for evaluating a wide range of physical dysfunctions and disorders involving the lower leg, foot, and ankle. The FAAM is broken down into two different questionnaires. One consists of 21 items that are associated with active daily living (ADL) and the other is an 8 item sub section specifically focusing on sports related movements.\textsuperscript{31} Each item gives the patient the ability to rate the associated movement/activity on a scale from “no difficulty” (4 points) to “unable to do/perform” (0 points).\textsuperscript{31} At the end of each section all of the points are added up, divided by the total possible score (84 points for ADL and 32 points for sports), and then multiplied by a 100 to give a final “score”.\textsuperscript{31} The MCID for both the FAAM ADL and FAAM sport have
been calculated to be 8 and 9 points respectively.31

The PRO NRS (TP, SLS, & running) and goniometer measurements were collected prior to treatment, immediately following each treatment, 24 hours post treatment, one week post initial treatment, two weeks post initial treatment, and one month post initial treatment. Additional PRO of FAAM ADL, FAAM sport, PSFS, and DPA were collected prior to treatment, 24 hours post initial treatment, one week post initial treatment, two weeks post initial treatment, and one month post initial treatment.

**INTERVENTION**

After no PILL effect was found with MC fibular glide, the patients complained of lacking the ability to perform full DF, and had ipsilateral hip pain while attempting TB DF exercises; the primary researcher performed PRT to the ipsilateral Sartorius origin one time (Figure 1B & 1C). Subsequently after the PRT Sartorius treatment, patients showed improved DF measurements and a PILL effect was obtained for the MC fibular glide. The MC fibular glide was then performed for three sets of ten repetitions. As the patient’s chief complaints were alleviated within each treatment of PRT and MC, further assessment was performed to establish the patients’ functionality (non-weight bearing, weight bearing, walking, jogging, running) (Table 1). At the end of treatment, a MC fibular glide taping was applied with Omnifix and Leukotape remain in place until the next day.

**RESULTS**

Significant intra-session improvements were observed within NRS and ROM measures. Both patients received two treatments 24 hours apart and were returned pain-free immediately back to practice after the conclusion of the second treatment session. Any associated laxity while performing anterior drawer test was absent after treatment. The improvements were sustained at one week, two weeks, and one month after being discharged following the second treatment. Moreover, both patients showed a significant improvement in DF following the application of PRT to the Sartorius origin that was sustained (Table 1). After each patient was treated with PRT, they regained 17° and 11° respectively, which was sustained until discharge and during follow-ups.

**DISCUSSION**

According to current clinical criteria used for the classification of lateral ankle sprains includes the use of the anterior drawer test, pain to palpate over ATFL, weight bearing/SLS, differences in ROM measurements, and gait analysis (Table 2).1,4,31-33 The two patients in this case report presented with criteria consistent with a grade two LAS and was the working diagnosis for the primary researcher. Additionally, no PILL effect could be found while performing MC MWM on either patient and both patients complained of varying pain along the ipsilateral anteriolateral hip while attempting to perform resisted DF exercise. Intrinsically, a greater importance was placed upon restoring normal tensegrity and arthrokinematic aspects associated with their primary complaints related to LAS which were monitored throughout the rehabilitation process using PRO and goniometric measurements.

Currently there are no studies examining the immediate effects of PRO with acute LAS while utilizing a RI approach (i.e., treating remotely). Furthermore, there are no current RI or pathoanatomical models supporting the RI correlation between ipsilateral sartorius TP and LAS pain. Nevertheless, in this exploratory case report, patients diagnosed with a grade two LAS reported improvements in pain immediately after treatment and at subsequent follow-ups after a RI PRT intervention at the ipsilateral Sartorius was performed which allowed the clinician to obtain a PILL effect for MC fibular glides. While these preliminary findings are unique, there are previous RI studies linking ankle and foot pathologies to other injuries or painful conditions. Navicular arthrokinematic
dysfunction, limited DF ROM, and excessive ankle pronation have been linked to low back pain, sacroiliac dysfunction, and other lumbopelvic pathologies.34–36 Conversely; postural stabilization, hip torque, decreased hip activation while walking or jumping, and ipsilateral hip abductor weakness have been connected to or are affected by LAS.16, 37–42 While direct comparisons cannot be made due to the significant differences between studies, it lends some support to include a RI assessment technique for the evaluation and treatment of LAS.

In this case report, a significant level of importance was placed upon resolving the patients’ chief complaints from both local and global stand points. While MC has been found to be a very reliable and effective method of manual therapy for LAS, no PILL effect could be obtained during the evaluation and/or initial treatment of either patient in this case study.43–46 According to the MC methodologies, MWM would not be warranted in these patient’s cases.26 However; in the current case study, not only was a PILL effect obtained after a RI-based treatment was performed, but also an immediate DF increase was observed in both cases. The treatment of both patients innately resolved their primary complaints related to their LAS and returned them to their sports expeditiously.

Limitations of the current study include the lack of control group or a comparison group. In addition to the lack of comparison group or randomized controls, each patient was allowed to participate in sports related activities as tolerated by the patients’ in season sport. The primary researcher only treated one TP located at the ipsilateral sartorius origin and did not scan or treat any other TP which could have been present.

CONCLUSION
In this novel case study, this is the first report to present outcomes on the use of a RI based intervention of PRT to the ipsilateral sartorius origin for increasing ankle DF and aiding in obtaining a PILL response in patients with a primary complaint of LAS. Patients were able to return to full activity with no pain that was sustained for at least one month after treatment. In these two cases the clinician was able to effectively use an RI approach to treat grade two LAS, however, further research is required to verify these findings. While RI concepts are still being researched and explored “clinicians should continue to identify a specific pathoanatomic source of the patient’s symptoms”; however, “they should also consider impairments of other systems/regions that directly or indirectly associate with the patient’s complaint”.17
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