

2009

FP-09-02 Divorce Rate in the U.S., 2008

S. Kawamura

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles



Part of the [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Repository Citation

Kawamura, S., "FP-09-02 Divorce Rate in the U.S., 2008" (2009). *National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles*. 145.


https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles/145

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences at ScholarWorks@BGSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@BGSU.

Divorce Rate in the U.S., 2008

Divorce Rate in the U.S., 2008

- The proportion of marriages that end through divorce has been stable over the last 20 years in the U.S. It is estimated that about half of all marriages end in divorce (Raley & Bumpass, 2003).
- In 2008, about 1.9% of marriages ended in divorce in the U.S.¹ That is, roughly 19 per 1,000 marriages ended in divorce in that year.
- More marriages occur than divorces in the U.S. There were approximately two marriages for every one divorce in the U.S. in 2008.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008

¹The divorce rate = [(number of men and women divorced in the past 12 months) / (number of men and women divorced in the past 12 months + number of currently married men and women)]*100

Five States with the Highest and Lowest Divorce Rate, 2008

- In Washington, DC, about 3.5% of marriages ended in divorce in 2008. In other words, roughly 35 per 1,000 marriages ended in divorce in that year.
- In North Dakota, roughly 1.4% of marriages ended in divorce in 2008. About 14 per 1,000 marriages ended in divorce.
- For a ranking table with all states, [click here](#).

Ranking	State	Divorce Rate
1	Wash, DC	3.45
2	Wyoming	2.72
3	Oklahoma	2.71
4	Kentucky	2.54
5	Alaska	2.53
United States		1.94
47	Pennsylvania	1.53
47	Hawaii	1.53
49	New Jersey	1.47
50	New York	1.44
51	North Dakota	1.43

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008

Ranking	State	Ratio of marriage to divorce
1	North Dakota	3.10
2	Idaho	2.31
3	Hawaii	2.28
4	New Mexico	2.25
5	New York	2.24
5	Nebraska	2.24
United States		1.83
47	Tennessee	1.50
48	Kentucky	1.49
49	Vermont	1.41
50	Maine	1.37
51	Delaware	1.30

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008

Five States with the Highest and Lowest Ratio of Marriage to Divorce, 2008

- In 2008, there were many more marriages than divorces in North Dakota. There were approximately three marriages for every one divorce.
- In Delaware, marriages and divorces occurred at a similar pace. There was roughly one marriage for every one divorce in 2008.
- For a ranking table with all states, [click here](#).

Family Profiles examine topics related to NCFMR's core research themes. Data are presented at both the national and state levels using new data sources. Written for both researchers and broad communities, these profiles summarize the latest statistics on U.S. families.

[Return to previous page](#)

Ranking Table for Divorce Rate

Ranking	State	Divorce Rate	Margin of Error
1	Wash, DC	3.45	+/- 8.4
2	Wyoming	2.72	+/- 5.8
3	Oklahoma	2.71	+/- 2.0
4	Kentucky	2.54	+/- 2.4
5	Alaska	2.53	+/- 5.9
6	Alabama	2.51	+/- 1.9
7	Mississippi	2.48	+/- 2.6
8	Tennessee	2.33	+/- 1.6
8	Nevada	2.33	+/- 2.8
10	Arkansas	2.28	+/- 2.2
11	Arizona	2.26	+/- 1.9
12	Colorado	2.25	+/- 1.9
12	Maine	2.25	+/- 3.2
14	Kansas	2.23	+/- 2.2
14	Utah	2.23	+/- 2.4
16	Indiana	2.22	+/- 1.5
17	Washington	2.21	+/- 1.5
18	Georgia	2.20	+/- 1.4
18	Delaware	2.20	+/- 4.3
20	Texas	2.15	+/- 1.0
21	Idaho	2.08	+/- 2.8
22	West Virginia	2.07	+/- 2.8
22	Louisiana	2.07	+/- 1.9
24	Missouri	2.06	+/- 1.4
25	Vermont	2.04	+/- 4.0
26	Oregon	2.01	+/- 2.2
27	New Mexico	1.99	+/- 2.6
28	Florida	1.97	+/- 0.9
29	Maryland	1.95	+/- 1.6
29	Nebraska	1.95	+/- 2.4
	United States	1.94	+/- 0.2
31	Michigan	1.94	+/- 1.2
32	Virginia	1.89	+/- 1.3
33	Ohio	1.88	+/- 1.0
34	Montana	1.83	+/- 3.0
34	South Carolina	1.83	+/- 1.5
36	South Dakota	1.81	+/- 4.2
37	California	1.80	+/- 0.7
37	Illinois	1.80	+/- 1.0
39	North Carolina	1.77	+/- 1.3
40	Rhode Island	1.74	+/- 3.4
41	Iowa	1.70	+/- 1.6
42	New Hampshire	1.69	+/- 2.5
42	Connecticut	1.69	+/- 1.7
44	Minnesota	1.67	+/- 1.3
44	Wisconsin	1.67	+/- 1.2
46	Massachusetts	1.66	+/- 1.3
47	Pennsylvania	1.53	+/- 0.8
47	Hawaii	1.53	+/- 2.9
49	New Jersey	1.47	+/- 1.0
50	New York	1.44	+/- 0.7
51	North Dakota	1.43	+/- 3.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008

[Return to previous page](#)

Ranking Table for Ratio of Marriage to Divorce

Ranking	State	Ratio of marriage to divorce
1	North Dakota	3.10
2	Idaho	2.31
3	Hawaii	2.28
4	New Mexico	2.25
5	New York	2.24
5	Nebraska	2.24
7	Utah	2.15
8	New Jersey	2.08
9	Alaska	2.05
10	California	2.04
11	Wisconsin	2.03
12	Iowa	2.02
13	North Carolina	2.01
14	Louisiana	1.98
14	Minnesota	1.98
14	Arkansas	1.98
17	South Dakota	1.94
18	Maryland	1.90
19	Virginia	1.88
20	Pennsylvania	1.87
20	Montana	1.87
22	Connecticut	1.85
23	Kansas	1.84
	United States	1.83
24	Oregon	1.83
25	Texas	1.81
25	Washington	1.81
25	Nevada	1.81
25	DC	1.81
29	Rhode Island	1.79
30	Missouri	1.77
30	Wyoming	1.77
32	Illinois	1.76
33	New Hampshire	1.75
34	Ohio	1.74
35	Colorado	1.73
36	Georgia	1.71
37	Massachusetts	1.70
37	Florida	1.70
39	South Carolina	1.61
39	Michigan	1.61
39	Indiana	1.61
42	West Virginia	1.59
42	Mississippi	1.59
44	Alabama	1.58
45	Oklahoma	1.57
46	Arizona	1.52
47	Tennessee	1.50
48	Kentucky	1.49
49	Vermont	1.41
50	Maine	1.37
51	Delaware	1.30

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008

Suggested Citation:
Kawamura, S. (2009.) Divorce Rate in the U.S., 2008 (FP-09-02). National Center for Family & Marriage Research. Retrieved from http://ncfmr.bgsu.edu/pdf/family_profiles/file78753.pdf

This project was supported with a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, grant number 5 U01 AE000001-03. The opinions and conclusions expressed herein are solely those of the author(s) and should not be construed as representing the opinions or policy of any agency of the Federal government.