Bowling Green State University

ScholarWorks@BGSU

Biological Sciences Faculty Publications

College of Arts and Sciences

2017

Implications of animal water balance for terrestrial food webs

Kevin E. McCluney Bowling Green State University, kmcclun@bgsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/bio_sci_pub

Part of the Biology Commons

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Repository Citation

McCluney, Kevin E., "Implications of animal water balance for terrestrial food webs" (2017). *Biological Sciences Faculty Publications*. 64. https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/bio_sci_pub/64

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts and Sciences at ScholarWorks@BGSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@BGSU.

Highlights

- 1. Evidence shows animal water balance driving top-down effects in food webs.
- 2. Traits may help predict ecological responses to moisture.
- 3. Smaller animals, like arthropods, are particularly likely to be water-limited.
- 4. Water-limitation may interact with predation or demand for energy or nutrients.
- 5. Ecological effects of animal water balance may be widespread and common.

1	Implications of animal water balance for terrestrial food webs
2	
3	AUTHORS: Kevin E. McCluney ¹
4	
5	ADDRESSES:
6	¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH,
7	kmcclun@bgsu.edu
8	
9	RUNNING TITLE: Water Balance and Food Webs
10	
11	WORD COUNT: 3284
12	REFERENCES: 66 (8 annotated)
13	KEY WORDS: Water web, water loss, hydration, soil moisture, drought
14	
15	Abstract
16	Recent research has documented shifts in per capita trophic interactions and food webs in
17	response to changes in environmental moisture, from the top-down (consumers to plants), rather
18	than solely bottom-up (plants to consumers). These responses may be predictable from effects of
19	physiological, behavioral, and ecological traits on animal water balance, although predictions
20	could be modified by energy or nutrient requirements, the risk of predation, population-level
21	responses, and bottom-up effects. Relatively little work has explicitly explored food web effects
22	of changes in animal water balance, despite the likelihood of widespread relevance, including
23	during periodic droughts in mesic locations, where taxa may lack adaptations for water

conservation. More research is needed, particularly in light of climate change and hydrologicalalteration.

26

27 Introduction

28 Maintaining water balance is a key challenge to the evolution of terrestrial organisms. 29 Dehydration can have severe and immediate consequences, including declines in growth [1], 30 reproduction [2-5], and survival [6, 7]. Varied adaptations to meet this challenge have long 31 fascinated biologists, who have developed methods of measuring fluxes of water into and out of 32 organisms, generating animal water budgets (Figure 1A) [8-14]. Physiological ecologists use 33 these approaches to quantify effects of adaptations and climate on water balance [11, 15-20]. But 34 how does variation in animal water balance alter species interactions and food webs? Until 35 recently, this topic had received relatively little attention (but see [21]). Instead, food web 36 ecologists often focused on effects of variable moisture from the bottom-up, via effects on plants, 37 with energy or nutrients driving food web responses (e.g. [22-28]). For instance, Banfield-Zanin 38 and Leather [24] recently found increased per capita consumption of aphids by lady beetles when 39 aphids were reared on drought-stressed spruce trees, which led to smaller aphids. Other studies 40 have documented significant bottom-up effects from precipitation associated with ENSO cycles 41 [26, 29-31]. While bottom-up effects are likely to be important, top-down effects, where 42 variation in animal water balance affects lower trophic levels, also deserve attention. 43 Recent evidence suggests that water can greatly influence food webs from the top-down [32, 33], altering the strength of species interactions [33-36] and trophic cascades [37]. 44 45 McCluney and Sabo [37] found that under dry conditions, large spiders in a semi-arid floodplain 46 suppressed populations of crickets and reduced herbivory (a trophic cascade), but with added

47 water, large spiders had no effect on crickets and an almost neutral effect on herbivory. In 48 another study, Deguines et al. [32] found that direct effects of precipitation on animals were 49 commonly stronger than indirect, plant-mediated, bottom-up effects in a semi-arid grassland over 50 7 years of variable precipitation. Moreover, Hagan et al. [36] found potential human health 51 implications, because dehydrated mosquitoes increased blood-meal feeding. Careful 52 consideration of water balance models can help identify mechanisms of these effects (Figure 53 1A). Because metabolic water production and atmospheric uptake are generally (but not always, 54 see [20, 38-40]) small fluxes [11, 38, 39], to prevent dehydration, declines in drinking water 55 must often be met by either A) declines in water loss rates (with associated energetic or 56 reproductive costs), or B) increases in consumption of moist food (Figure 1B, 1C, [33]; if food 57 is dry, declines in water often result in decreases in consumption [41, 42]). Thus, with variable 58 environmental moisture (precipitation, moist soils, waterbodies), terrestrial animals should 59 experience periods of heightened demand for moist food, which often is found in the form of 60 other living organisms, thus resulting in stronger per capita trophic interactions (Figure 1B). This 61 mechanism linking moisture to trophic interactions may be complicated by intra- and 62 interspecific variation in water loss rates, optimal and minimum hydration states, behavior (e.g. 63 ability to wait for better conditions), food nutrient and water content and density, trade-offs with 64 other constraints (e.g. predator avoidance; Figure 1B, 1C), population-level responses, and 65 bottom-up effects. Here I review recent advances in our understanding of the drivers, frequency, 66 and consequences of variation in animal water balance and propose conceptual models for 67 understanding food web implications.

68

69 Variation with Physiological, Behavioral, and Ecological Traits

70 Physiological traits can greatly influence organismal water loss rates and ability to 71 tolerate dehydration [11, 16, 19]. One key trait is body size. Smaller organisms, like terrestrial 72 arthropods, have greater surface area to volume ratios and this should result in greater relative 73 water loss rates, due to the importance of cutaneous water loss as a key water efflux [16]. 74 Moreover, smaller organisms, including most insects (< -70 g), have higher rates of water loss 75 relative to metabolic rate (Figure 2), suggesting greater likelihood of water limitation than energy 76 limitation. This result emerges from re-analysis of data from Woods and Smith [43], who 77 published a universal model linking gas exchange (a proxy for metabolic rate in animals) and 78 water loss rates. The data suggest there is a difference in scaling of body size with metabolic rate 79 versus body size with water loss rate. Thus, water loss rates tend to surpass metabolic rates in 80 smaller organisms.

81 Evidence of greater water loss rates for smaller animals has consequences. For instance, 82 smaller ants may die from dehydration more quickly than larger (e.g. [6, 7]). In general, dehydration should present a more time-sensitive constraint in smaller animals (although these 83 84 animals may be better able to seek out moist microenvironments). What are the potential food 85 web consequences? One might expect a greater propensity of smaller organisms to display 86 greater increases in moist food consumption, and thus stronger trophic interactions under 87 periodic declines in environmental water sources. This suggests that terrestrial arthropod food 88 webs may often be driven by water more than energy (i.e. water webs, *sensu* [34]).

Could physiological traits other than body size influence food webs through water
balance mechanisms? Very little work has investigated this question. But it seems likely that
variation in excretory systems, cuticular hydrocarbons, critical water content (lower limits), and

92 other physiological traits could mediate responses of consumption behavior, species interactions,93 and food webs to variation in environmental moisture.

94 Behavioral traits can also greatly influence how animals respond to variability in water 95 sources. For example, Davis and DeNardo [44] found that water supplementation stimulated 96 above-ground Gila monster activity, potentially allowing for greater rates of predation. This 97 finding differs from the earlier general expectation and the findings of others [34, 37] that water 98 supplementation should lower per capita predation due to decreased demand for moist food. The 99 explanation could lie in the fact that taxa that have greater ability to seek shelter, greatly lower 100 rates of water loss, and wait for better conditions, may choose to reduce activity in response to 101 substantially reduced environmental moisture rather than increase consumption of moist food 102 (shifting the left side of the curve in Figure 1C to the right). Thus, water supplementation would 103 lead to increases in consumption for taxa that are "dormant" during periods of low moisture. This 104 behavior is probably more common in long-lived, large-bodied animals with an ability to burrow 105 deep belowground; however, some animals (e.g. tardigrades, nematodes, Antarctic midges, 106 spider beetles, bed bugs) have the ability to substantially lower water loss rates, tolerate extreme 107 desiccation, and/or enter resistant life stages—but these capabilities appear mostly restricted to 108 extremely small, desert, or blood-feeding taxa [11, 19, 45-48].

Excluding groups that are able to wait for better conditions, most small, and especially short-lived species (most terrestrial arthropods), should be particularly constrained in their behavioral responses to periodic reductions in environmental moisture, because of short windows for reproduction. Reproductive periods generally occur in spring and summer growing seasons, which can be impacted by drought events with low predictability. The ability to maintain activity during these periodic growing season droughts should have substantial reproductive benefits, especially for taxa that live only one or two years, like many arthropods. Increasing consumption
of moist food may allow arthropods and other animals to maintain activity and reproductive
effort during periodic environmental water declines.

118 Variation in ecological traits may also be fundamentally important in mediating effects of 119 periodic reductions in environmental moisture on trophic interactions. Expected shifts in trophic 120 interactions to meet water demands depend on the degree to which an animal is capable of 121 switching to alternative food sources. Generalists may be able to switch to food items of higher 122 water content under reduced environmental moisture. For example, field crickets are generalist 123 consumers and have been shown to consume moist vegetation when environmental water sources 124 are limited [34, 37]. Specialists, on the other hand, may be unable to switch to alternative food 125 sources of higher moisture content, instead being forced to consume greater amounts of existing 126 lower moisture food (compensatory feeding), or suffer dehydration. Moreover, herbivores or 127 omnivores capable of eating plant materials may have generally greater access to moist food 128 sources than strictly carnivorous species (e.g. most spiders). Thus, differences in ecological traits 129 could influence consumption behavior in ways that could have important implications for food 130 webs (discussed more below).

In general, there is a stark contrast between substantial existing research documenting how physiological traits influence animal water balance and the dearth of studies linking physiological, behavioral, or ecological traits to food web dynamics via water balance mechanisms. However, I suggest that a trait-based approach, examining water-balance relevant traits, could be a powerful method for predicting food web responses to variation in environmental moisture.

137

138 Tradeoffs with Other Constraints

139 Water balance may be a strong driver of consumption behavior, especially for small 140 animals like arthropods, but it is likely that these behaviors are simultaneously modified by 141 tradeoffs with other constraints (Figure 1B). For instance, risk of predation may modify how an 142 organism responds to changes in water balance (Figure 1C). Hochman and Kotler [41] found that 143 risk of predation lowers dry food consumption (increases giving up density, the density of food 144 at which the animal no longer forages) and water availability increases dry food consumption 145 (lowers giving up density) for Nubian ibex. Similarly, Valeix et al. [49] found that African 146 herbivores were more likely to drink from water holes under drier conditions or with less 147 perceived predation risk. McCluney and Sabo [37] found suggestive evidence for an interaction 148 between predation risk and water availability on consumption. Large spiders reduced rates of 149 herbivory by crickets under dry conditions, with added moist leaves, despite a lack of direct 150 effect of spiders on cricket abundance. This suggests that fear of predation reduced the time 151 crickets spent consuming moist food under dry conditions with abundant moist food. With 152 moderate addition of drinking water, this effect disappeared (and slightly reversed, with large 153 spiders slightly increasing rates of herbivory by crickets, possibly due to crickets avoiding water 154 resource patches being used by spiders in preference for moist vegetation without spiders). More 155 research is needed to better explore the tradeoffs between dehydration and predator avoidance 156 behavior in influencing consumption (Figure 1C).

Recent efforts in nutritional physiology and ecology that view limitation through a lens of ratios of potentially limiting nutrients have led to substantial scientific progress [50-52]. Optimal growth or reproduction is likely achieved by a balance of intake of various nutrients and energy containing molecules. Imbalances between requirements and ratios in food items may alter

161 species interactions by stimulating compensatory feeding (*sensu* [53]). As outlined here, water 162 may be another key factor influencing the growth, reproduction, and species interactions of 163 animals. Integrating water into these nutritional frameworks, comparing demand for water 164 relative to energy or nutrients, and ratios of these dietary factors within food, could be a strong 165 approach to predicting species interactions (Figure 1B, 1C, suggested by [52]). For instance, 166 McCluney and Sabo [37] found that the effect of large spiders on small spiders versus crickets 167 changed with water-large spiders reduced crickets under dry conditions, but not wet, and the 168 effect of large spiders on small spiders also varied with water (lack of post-hoc difference 169 reduced interpretability). Together these results caused the authors to suggest that large spiders 170 may have switched from consuming water-laden crickets to consuming energy- or nutrient-rich 171 small spiders with added water availability. Similarly, in another study, Frizzi et al. [54] found 172 that water supplemented ants subsequently consumed the most of a high-sucrose concentration 173 liquid diet. Thus, with increased environmental water, generalists may tend to consume more 174 energy- or nutrient-rich food sources of lower water content (Figure 1C). 175 Water availability could also interact with behavior and food quality in other ways to

176 influence consumption. One might expect that omnivorous predators (e.g. many beetles and ants) 177 could increase herbivory when moisture declines. If an omnivorous predator is an active hunter, 178 increased herbivory could lead to decreased activity by the omnivorous predator (with further 179 water balance benefits for the predator), which could decrease perception of predation risk by 180 herbivores, which could in turn increase their rates of herbivory (Figure 1C). Thus, there could 181 be multiple pathways by which decreased water availability could increase herbivory. However, 182 if predators are strictly carnivorous, they would be expected to inhibit herbivory under periodic 183 declines in environmental moisture, or if omnivorous predators are not active hunters, perception

of predation risk may go unchanged. Again, behavioral and ecological traits may be important inpredicting responses.

Decreased water availability could also potentially reduce decomposition due to dietary trade-offs. Omnivorous detritivores (e.g. crickets), might decrease consumption of dry detrital materials in favor of increasing consumption of moist foods (leaves, other animals) in response to a dry period or conditions [55]. Thus, increased water limitation could make food webs more "green" rather than "brown," with potential reductions in food web stability [56, 57]. More research is needed to better test for these potential effects.

192

193 Short-term vs Long-term

194 Most of the research and ideas described thus far have focused on the effects of animal water balance on short-term changes in per capita consumption. These ideas should be quite 195 196 relevant under realistic scenarios of periodic fluctuations in moisture availability. And per capita 197 interactions can influence food web dynamics on their own [58]. However, continuous and 198 prolonged changes in water availability may have population-level effects that could differ from 199 per capita effects. Drought may lead to increases in *per capita* consumption of moist food as 200 described above, but over the long-term, may lead to decreases in abundance of consumers [59], 201 via reductions in growth [1], reproduction [2-5], and survival [6, 7]. Thus, prolonged drought 202 may initially increase the strength of population-level trophic effects, as per capita consumption 203 increases without significant population changes, but then could decrease the strength of these 204 population-level interactions as populations of consumers decline, even if per capita effects are 205 still strong. The relative degree to which populations of different taxa decline with prolonged 206 drought could again be dependent on many of the same physiological, behavioral, and ecological traits outlined above. Systematic variation in these traits could help predict changes in

208 populations as well as changes in per capita effects. For instance, if generalists are more sensitive

209 to changes in environmental moisture than specialists, the frequency of omnivory might first

210 increase, but then decrease with drought duration.

211

212 Interacting top-down and bottom-up effects

Although this review focuses on top-down effects of variation in moisture, mediated by animal water balance, bottom-up effects are likely to interact with top-down [33]. The relative importance of each likely varies among systems and over time (e.g. with ENSO events [60]), but it is important to point out that direct effects of environmental moisture on animals can potentially exceed bottom-up in real-world scenarios, over relatively long time frames [32]. Thus, both top-down and bottom-up effects likely contribute substantially to observed responses [32, 33, 60].

220 A number of studies have specifically focused on bottom-up effects of plant water 221 balance, sufficiently that Huberty and Denno [27] synthesized existing studies and posed the 222 "pulsed-stress hypothesis," suggesting that continuous plant water stress reduces herbivory by 223 phloem-feeding taxa, but that periodic pulses of plant water stress can increase herbivory by this 224 group (they hypothesize due to increases in N). Patterns for chewing insects were less clear. On 225 the other hand, multiple studies of pulsed rainfall inputs to arid or semi-arid areas, often 226 associated with ENSO cycles, suggest that bottom up stimulation of plant productivity can 227 stimulate production of rodents and other herbivores, then predators, often leading to delayed 228 top-down effects [22, 25, 26, 29, 30, 60-62]. These studies generally assume that the top-down

effects following precipitation pulses are driven by increasing numbers of predators, but Isuggest that increasing demand for moist food with drying may also contribute.

231 Many unanswered questions remain about how plant and animal water balance interact to 232 influence food webs. For instance, do drought pulses lead to reduced food web stability and thus 233 diversity due to the combination of higher per capita trophic interactions and reduced plant 234 growth [33]? Or do drought pulses lead to greater coexistence and diversity (temporal β -235 diversity) by selectively reducing abundance of those plant and animal taxa that would be 236 dominant under moist conditions, but are highly sensitive to drought? In light of climate change, 237 urbanization, and hydrologic alteration, there is great need for a more thorough consideration of 238 the interaction between top-down and bottom-up effects of moisture over both the short and 239 long-term.

240

241 Spatiotemporal Variation in the Frequency of Water-mediated Trophic Interactions

242 How commonly does animal water balance influence food webs? One would expect 243 animal water balance to be infrequently important in perennial wetlands, given high and 244 consistent water availability (Figure 3; in these locations, lower water content, nutrient-rich food 245 may be preferred, Figure 1C, [54]). Some might expect animal water balance to be most 246 important for food webs in very dry, desert locations, but the limited taxa able to persist in 247 extreme deserts may have physiological, behavioral, or ecological adaptations that allow them to 248 conserve water or remain dormant during droughts (bottom-up effects of environmental 249 moisture, on the other hand, may be strongest in the most arid areas, [21, 25, 26, 63]). Thus, the 250 food webs most frequently and intensely affected by variation in animal water balance may be 251 those which experience intermediate levels of environmental moisture. These environments

should allow the persistence of many taxa that are not strongly adapted to arid conditions and
thus may respond very strongly to periodic reductions in moisture. For example, Lensing and
Wise [64] found that effects of water on the effect of spiders on decomposition (via top-down
effects) were stronger at a wetter site than a drier site.

256 How widely distributed are locations of intermediate water availability that might 257 promote animal water-balance-mediated trophic effects? McCluney and Sabo [37] used 258 relationships between soil moisture and rates of herbivory (by crickets) in a floodplain forest in a 259 semi-arid region of Arizona to predict the frequency of similar observations of water-balance 260 associated herbivory across the continental US (Figure 4). They found that these crickets would 261 be expected to exhibit water-balance-driven herbivory at 49% of all soil moisture measurement 262 stations in the US, including mesic locations, at some point in time. I suggest that this likely 263 underestimates the frequency with which animal water balance has important ecological effects. 264 The soil moisture-herbivory relationships were determined in a dryland floodplain, an 265 environment of intermediate aridity [55]. More mesic locations may have taxa less adapted to 266 desiccating environmental conditions, and thus showing stronger ecological responses to 267 reductions in environmental moisture. Along these lines, McCluney et al. [65] found that mean 268 hydration (water content) of arthropods responded to changes in desiccating environmental 269 conditions (e.g. lower soil moisture, higher temperature) across three US metropolitan areas, 270 including those located in mesic regions (Raleigh, NC, Orlando, FL, and Phoenix, AZ), 271 suggesting sub-optimal hydration can occur across a broad range of US climates. If many 272 arthropod taxa respond to sub-optimal hydration via changes to per capita consumption of moist 273 food, as described above, this data suggests a common role for water balance in food web 274 dynamics.

275

276 Conclusion

277 Animal water balance may play key roles in mediating species interactions, altering food 278 webs in diverse terrestrial environments. Differences in physiological, behavioral, and ecological 279 traits likely underpin how animals respond to changes in environmental moisture. Moreover, 280 demand and availability of energy and nutrients, as well as differences in predation pressure, 281 likely further modify these responses, and long-term consequences may be altered by population-282 level responses and bottom-up effects. The interplay between these factors results in intriguing 283 unanswered questions, important for understanding how species interactions and food webs 284 change with variation in environmental moisture. For instance, how does variation in water 285 balance of arboreal vs understory ants [6, 7] influence rates of predation and herbivory during 286 heatwaves? Questions like this are particularly important in light of global climate change and 287 land modification, which can have potentially large effects on animal water balance, with 288 relatively unknown food web consequences.

289

290 Acknowledgements

I thank J. Burdine, J. Becker, M. Marshall, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful
comments and A. Cease and J. Sabo for discussions that contributed to ideas within the
manuscript. This work was supported by funding from Bowling Green State University to K.
McCluney.

295

296

297

298	References
299	
300	[1] McCluney KE, Date RC: The effects of hydration on growth of the house cricket, Acheta
301	domesticus. Journal Of Insect Science 2008, 8: 1-9.
302	[2] Kam M, Degen AA: Body-Mass at Birth and Growth-Rate of Fat Sand Rat
303	(Psammomys-Obesus) Pups - Effect of Litter Size and Water-Content of Atriplex-
304	Halimus Consumed by Pregnant and Lactating Females. Functional Ecology 1994, 8:
305	351-357.
306	[3] Lorenzon P, Clobert J, Massot M: The contribution of phenotypic plasticity to adaptation
307	in Lacerta vivipara. Evolution 2001, 55: 392-404.
308	[4] Vleck CM, Priedkalns J: Reproduction in zebra finches: hormone levels and effect of
309	dehydration. Condor 1985, 37-46.
310	[5] Benoit JB, Patrick KR, Desai K, Hardesty JJ, Krause TB, Denlinger DL: Repeated bouts of
311	dehydration deplete nutrient reserves and reduce egg production in the mosquito
312	Culex pipiens. Journal of Experimental Biology 2010, 213: 2763-2769.
313	[6] Bujan J, Yanoviak SP, Kaspari M: Desiccation resistance in tropical insects: causes and
314	mechanisms underlying variability in a Panama ant community. <i>Ecology and</i>
315	<i>Evolution</i> 2016, 6 : 6282-6291.
316	[7] Hood WG, Tschinkel WR: Desiccation resistance in arboreal and terrestrial ants .
317	Physiological Entomology 1990, 15 : 23-35.
318 319	[8] Tieleman BI, Williams JB, Visser GH: Energy and water budgets of larks in a life history
320	perspective: Parental effort varies with aridity. <i>Ecology</i> 2004, 85 : 1399-1410. [9] Williams JB, Anderson MD, Richardson PRK: Seasonal differences in field metabolism,
320	water requirements, and foraging behavior of free-living aardwolves. <i>Ecology</i> 1997,
322	78 : 2588-2602.
323	[10] Cooper PD: Seasonal-Changes in Water Budgets in 2 Free-Ranging Tenebrionid
324	Beetles, Eleodes-Armata and Cryptoglossa-Verrucosa. Physiological Zoology 1985,
325	58 : 458-472.
326	[11] Hadley NF: Water Relations of Terrestrial Arthropods. Academic Press; 1994.
327	[12] Schmidt-Nielsen B, Schmidt-Nielsen K: A complete account of the water metabolism in
328	kangaroo rats and an experimental verification. Journal of Cellular and Comparative
329	<i>Physiology</i> 1951, 38 : 165-181.
330	[13] Wolf TJ, Ellington CP, Davis S, Feltham MJ: Validation of the doubly labelled water
331	technique for bumblebees Bombus terrestris (L). Journal of Experimental Biology
332	1996, 199 : 959-972.
333	[14] Nagy KA: Water and electrolyte budgets of a free-living desert lizard, Sauromalus
334	obesus. Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and
335	Behavioral Physiology 1972, 79: 39-62.
336	[15] Karasov WH, del Rio CM: Physiological ecology: how animals process energy, nutrients,
337	and toxins. Princeton University Press Princeton; 2007.
338	[16] Chown SL, Sorensen JG, Terblanche JS: Water loss in insects: an environmental change
339	perspective. J Insect Physiol 2011, 57: 1070-84.
340	[17] Addo-Bediako A, Chown SL, Gaston KJ: Revisiting water loss in insects: a large scale
341	view. Journal of Insect Physiology 2001, 47: 1377-1388.

- [18] Wolf BO, Walsberg GE: Respiratory and cutaneous evaporative water loss at high
 environmental temperatures in a small bird. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 1996,
 199: 451-457.
- [19] Benoit JB, Denlinger DL: Meeting the challenges of on-host and off-host water balance
 in blood-feeding arthropods. *Journal of insect physiology* 2010, 56: 1366-1376.
- 347 [20] Nicolson SW: Water balance and osmoregulation in Onymacris plana, a tenebrionid
 348 beetle from the Namib Desert. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 1980, 26: 315-320.
- [21] Noy-Meir I: Desert Ecosystems: Higher Trophic Levels. Annual Review of Ecology and
 Systematics 1974, 5: 195-214.
- [22] Ernest SKM, Brown JH, Parmenter RR: Rodents, plants, and precipitation: spatial and
 temporal dynamics of consumers and resources. *Oikos* 2000, 88: 470-482.
- [23] Pringle EG, Akçay E, Raab TK, Dirzo R, Gordon DM: Water stress strengthens
 mutualism among ants, trees, and scale insects. *PLoS biology* 2013, 11: e1001705.
- 355 [24] Banfield-Zanin J, Leather S: Prey-Mediated Effects of Drought on the Consumption
 356 Rates of Coccinellid Predators of Elatobium abietinum. *Insects* 2016, 7: 49.
- [25] Holmgren M, Stapp P, Dickman CR, Gracia C, Graham S, Gutierrez JR, Hice C, Jaksic F,
 Kelt DA, Letnic M, et al.: Extreme climatic events shape arid and semiarid
 ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2006, 4: 87-95.
- [26] Letnic M, Tamayo B, Dickman CR: The responses of mammals to La Nina (El Nino
 Southern Oscillation)-associated rainfall, predation, and wildfire in central
 Australia. Journal of Mammalogy 2005, 86: 689-703.
- [27] Huberty AF, Denno RF: Plant water stress and its consequences for herbivorous insects:
 A new synthesis. *Ecology* 2004, 85: 1383-1398.
- 365 [28] Spiller DA, Schoener TW: Climatic control of trophic interaction strength: the effect of
 366 lizards on spiders. *Oecologia* 2008, 154: 763-771.
- [29] Letnic M, Dickman C: Boom means bust: interactions between the El Niño/Southern
 Oscillation (ENSO), rainfall and the processes threatening mammal species in arid
 Australia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 2006, 15: 3847-3880.
- [30] Lima M, Stenseth NC, Jaksic FM: Food web structure and climate effects on the
 dynamics of small mammals and owls in semi-arid Chile. *Ecology Letters* 2002, 5:
 273-284.
- [31] Holmgren M, Scheffer M, Ezcurra E, Gutierrez JR, Mohren GMJ: El Nino effects on the
 dynamics of terrestrial ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2001, 16: 89-94.
- [32] Deguines N, Brashares JS, Prugh LR: Precipitation alters interactions in a grassland
 ecological community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 2017, 86: 262-272.
- 377 [33] McCluney KE, Belnap J, Collins SL, Gonzalez AL, Hagen EM, Nathaniel Holland J, Kotler
 378 BP, Maestre FT, Smith SD, Wolf BO: Shifting species interactions in terrestrial
 379 dryland ecosystems under altered water availability and climate change. *Biological* 380 reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 2012, 87: 563-582.
- [34] McCluney KE, Sabo JL: Water availability directly determines per capita consumption
 at two trophic levels. *Ecology* 2009, 90: 1463-1469.
- [35] Loveridge AJ, Hunt JE, Murindagomo F, Macdonald DW: Influence of drought on
 predation of elephant (Loxodonta africana) calves by lions (Panthera leo) in an
 African wooded savannah. Journal of Zoology 2006, 270: 523-530.

- [36] Hagan R, Szuter E, Rosselot A, Holmes C, Siler S, Rosendale A, Hendershot J, Elliott K,
 Jennings E, Rizlallah A: Dehydration Bouts Prompt Increased Activity And Blood
 Feeding By Mosquitoes. *bioRxiv* 2017, 120741.
- [37] McCluney KE, Sabo JL: Animal water balance drives top-down effects in a riparian
 forest—implications for terrestrial trophic cascades. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2016, 283: 20160881.
- 392 [38] Schmidt-nielsen B, Schmidt-Nielsen K, Brokaw A, Schneiderman H: Water conservation
 393 in desert rodents. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 1948, **32**: 331-360.
- [39] Nicolson SW: Water homeostasis in bees, with the emphasis on sociality. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 2009, 212: 429-434.
- Ices A: The water balance in Ixodes ricinus L. and certain other species of ticks.
 Parasitology 1946, 37: 1-20.
- 398 [41] Hochman V, Kotler BP: Effects of food quality, diet preference and water on patch use
 399 by Nubian ibex. *Oikos* 2006, 112: 547-554.
- 400 [42] Kotler BP, Dickman CR, Brown JS: The effects of water on patch use by two Simpson
 401 Desert granivores (Corvus coronoides and Pseudomys hermannsburgensis).
 402 Australian Journal of Ecology 1998, 23: 574-578.
- 403 [43] Woods HA, Smith JN: Universal model for water costs of gas exchange by animals and
 404 plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2010, 107: 8469-8474.
- 405 [44] Davis JR, DeNardo DF: Water Supplementation Affects the Behavioral and
 406 Physiological Ecology of Gila Monsters (Heloderma suspectum) in the Sonoran
 407 Desert. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 2009, 82: 739-748.
- 408 [45] Alpert P: Constraints of tolerance: why are desiccation-tolerant organisms so small or
 409 rare? Journal of Experimental Biology 2006, 209: 1575-1584.
- [46] Benoit JB, Lopez-Martinez G, Robert Michaud M, Elnitsky MA, Lee Jr RE, Denlinger DL:
 Mechanisms to reduce dehydration stress in larvae of the Antarctic midge, Belgica antarctica. Journal of Insect Physiology 2007, 53: 656-667.
- [47] Benoit JB, Yoder JA, Rellinger EJ, Ark JT, Keeney GD: Prolonged maintenance of water
 balance by adult females of the American spider beetle, Mezium affine Boieldieu, in
 the absence of food and water resources. Journal of Insect Physiology 2005, 51: 565 573.
- [48] Benoit JB, Del Grosso NA, Yoder JA, Denlinger DL: Resistance to dehydration between
 bouts of blood feeding in the bed bug, Cimex lectularius, is enhanced by water
 conservation, aggregation, and quiescence. The American journal of tropical medicine
 and hygiene 2007, 76: 987-993.
- [49] Valeix M, Fritz H, Matsika R, Matsvimbo F, Madzikanda H: The role of water
 abundance, thermoregulation, perceived predation risk and interference
 competition in water access by African herbivores. *African Journal of Ecology* 2008,
 424 46: 402-410.
- 425 [50] Sterner RW, Elser JJ: *Ecological Stoichiometry: The Biology of Elements from Molecules to* 426 *the Biosphere*. Princeton University Press; 2002.
- 427 [51] Simpson SJ, Raubenheimer D: *The nature of nutrition: a unifying framework from animal* 428 *adaptation to human obesity.* Princeton University Press; 2012.
- 429 [52] Rosenblatt AE, Schmitz OJ: Climate Change, Nutrition, and Bottom-Up and Top-Down
 430 Food Web Processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2016, 31: 965-975.

- [53] Denno RF, Fagan WF: Might nitrogen limitation promote omnivory among carnivorous
 arthropods? *Ecology* 2003, 84: 2522-2531.
- 433 [54] Frizzi F, Rispoli A, Chelazzi G, Santini G: Effect of water and resource availability on
 434 ant feeding preferences: a field experiment on the Mediterranean ant
 435 Crematogaster scutellaris. Insectes Sociaux 2016, 63: 565-574.
- 436 [55] Sabo JL, McCluney KE, Keller AC, Marusenko YY, Soykan CU: Greenfall links
 437 groundwater to aboveground food webs in desert river floodplains. *Ecological* 438 *Monographs* 2008, 78: 615-631.
- 439 [56] Polis GA, Strong DR: Food web complexity and community dynamics. American
 440 Naturalist 1996, 147: 813-846.
- [57] Moore JC, Berlow EL, Coleman DC, de Ruiter PC, Dong Q, Hastings A, Johnson NC,
 McCann KS, Melville K, Morin PJ, et al.: Detritus, trophic dynamics and biodiversity.
 Ecology Letters 2004, 7: 584-600.
- 444 [58] McCann KS: Food Webs (MPB-50). Princeton University Press; 2011.
- [59] Knight MH: Drought-related mortality of wildlife in the southern Kalahari and the role
 of man. African Journal of Ecology 1995, 33: 377-394.
- [60] Meserve PL, Kelt DA, Milstead WB, Gutierrez JR: Thirteen years of shifting top-down
 and bottom-up control. *Bioscience* 2003, 53: 633-646.
- 449 [61] Jaksic FM: Ecological effects of El Nino in terrestrial ecosystems of western South
 450 America. Ecography 2001, 24: 241-250.
- [62] Lima M, Ernest SKM, Brown JH, Belgrano A, Stenseth NC: Chihuahuan Desert
 kangaroo rats: Nonlinear effects of population dynamics, competition, and rainfall.
 Ecology 2008, 89: 2594-2603.
- [63] Noy-Meir I: Desert Ecosystems: Environment and Producers. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 1973, 4: 25-51.
- [64] Lensing JR, Wise DH: Predicted climate change alters the indirect effect of predators
 on an ecosystem process. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
 United States of America 2006, 103: 15502-15505.
- 459 [65] McCluney KE, Burdine JD, Frank SD: Variation in arthropod hydration across US cities
 460 with distinct climate. *Journal of Urban Ecology* 2017, 3: 1-9.
- [66] Zreda M, Shuttleworth J, Zeng X, Zweck C, *The COsmic-ray Soil Moisture Observing System (COSMOS).*
- 463
- 464 Annotations of References
- 465
- 466 6. Bujan J, Yanoviak SP, Kaspari M: Desiccation resistance in tropical insects: causes
- 467 and mechanisms underlying variability in a Panama ant community. Ecology and
- 468 *Evolution* 2016, **6**: 6282-6291.

469	Special interest: This article finds that larger ants and those in the canopy of tropical forests (a		
470	more d	lesiccating environment) take longer to die under dessicating laboratory conditions.	
471			
472	24.	Banfield-Zanin J, Leather S: Prey-Mediated Effects of Drought on the Consumption	
473		Rates of Coccinellid Predators of Elatobium abietinum. Insects 2016, 7: 49.	
474	Specia	l interest: This article reports findings that lady beetles increase consumption of aphids	
475	that have been reared on severely drought stressed spruce trees, which they hypothesize is due to		
476	a need	for greater consumption of smaller aphids to meet dietary requirements.	
477			
478	32.	Deguines N, Brashares JS, Prugh LR: Precipitation alters interactions in a grassland	
479		ecological community. Journal of Animal Ecology 2017, 86: 262-272.	
480	Outsta	nding interest: This article found that direct effects of variation in precipitation on animals	
481	often c	putweighed plant-mediated effects in a semi-arid food web and changes in precipitation	
482	were c	apable of altering the sign and strength of speices interactions.	
483			
484	36.	Hagan R, Szuter E, Rosselot A, Holmes C, Siler S, Rosendale A, Hendershot J, Elliott K,	
485		Jennings E, Rizlallah A: Dehydration Bouts Prompt Increased Activity And Blood	
486		Feeding By Mosquitoes. bioRxiv 2017, 120741.	
487	Specia	l interest: This article demonstrates that dehydrated mosquitoes increase blood-meal	
488	feeding	g, suggesting that animal water balance may have consequences for disease transmission.	
489			

490	37.	McCluney KE, Sabo JL: Animal water balance drives top-down effects in a riparian	
491		forest—implications for terrestrial trophic cascades. Proceedings of the Royal Society	
492		<i>B: Biological Sciences</i> 2016, 283 : 20160881.	
493	Outsta	nding interest: This article finds that trophic cascades from spiders, to crickets, to leaves	
494	that occur under dry conditions can dissapear with water supplementation and that effects can be		
495	either direct via consumption of crickets by spiders or behaviorally-mediated responses of		
496	crickets to the presence of spiders.		
497			
498	52.	Rosenblatt AE, Schmitz OJ: Climate Change, Nutrition, and Bottom-Up and Top-	
499		Down Food Web Processes . <i>Trends in Ecology & Evolution</i> 2016, 31 : 965-975.	
500	Special interest: This article discusses a general approach for connecting variation in the		
501	nutritional quality (including water) of resources to food web dynamics, reviewing recent		
502	advances.		
503			
504	54.	Frizzi F, Rispoli A, Chelazzi G, Santini G: Effect of water and resource availability on	
505		ant feeding preferences: a field experiment on the Mediterranean ant	
506		Crematogaster scutellaris. Insectes Sociaux 2016, 63: 565-574.	
507	Specia	I interest: this article found that hotter summer conditions reduced preferential demand for	
508	higher sucrose (energy-rich) foods (accepted all liquid sucrose diets equally) by ants and that		
509	supplemental water increased demand for high sucrose (energy-rich) foods, highlighting the		
510	tradeo	ffs between water and nutrient demand vs availablity in influencing consumption.	
511			

512 65. McCluney KE, Burdine JD, Frank SD: Variation in arthropod hydration across US
513 cities with distinct climate. *Journal of Urban Ecology* 2017, 3: 1-9.

514 Special interest: This article found that arthropod water content varied with urbanization and 515 environmental conditions in three different US cities, with urbanization decreasing hydration in a 516 region with a mild climate, but increasing hydration in regions with hot climates.

517

518

519 Figure Captions

520 Figure 1. A) A typical water budget for an animal (here, a cricket), showing fluxes into and out 521 of the animal. If effluxes exceed influxes, dehydration can result, with potentially severe 522 negative consequences for the animal. B) Hypothesized pathways mediating effects of animal 523 water balance on food webs. Multiple factors interact to influence water balance and then water 524 balance, combined with predation risk and energy and nutrient demand and food content interact 525 to influence consumption of moist food, altering trophic interactions when moist food is living. 526 C) Generalized predicted rates of consumption of water-laden and nutrient-rich (dry) food with 527 variable environmental moisture and risk of predation. At extremely low soil moisture, food 528 consumption is low due to limited activity of animals. With increased moisture availability, 529 consumption of moist food at first rapidly increases to help meet water balance requirements, but 530 then declines as environmental moisture becomes sufficient to meet water demand and animals 531 switch to consuming more high nutrient content food. Consumption of both types of food is 532 decreased by increasing predation risk. For more details, see "Tradeoffs with Other Constraints." 533

Figure 2. Body mass as a predictor of the relative demand for water versus energy, based on data
in Woods and Smith [43]. Smaller organisms tend to have higher water fluxes relative to gas
fluxes, which suggests a greater likelihood of being water-limited than energy-limited.

537

Figure 3. Prediction of the frequency with which animal water balance influences food webs with increasing environmental moisture availability. Environments with intermediate levels of moisture may have food webs most frequently and strongly affected by animal water balance due to the abundance of large numbers of taxa with high rates of water loss and few adaptations to resist dehydration. Note: classification scheme is similar to the xeric, mesic, and hygric designations of Hadley [11].

544

545 Figure 4. The frequency with which the field cricket Gryllus alogus would be expected to show 546 high rates of herbivory, around the US, based on extrapolation from experimental measurements 547 in a semi-arid floodplain [37] to observations in the COSMOS soil moisture network [66]. 548 Coloration represents the frequency of observations, shallower than 35 cm, that fall below the 549 maximum cut-off for water-limited herbivory observed in experimental work (6.8% volumetric 550 soil moisture). 49% of all stations in the US and 63% of all stations in the sunbelt (below dashed 551 line) have experienced soil moistures that could promote herbivory by this omnivorous cricket. 552 Reprinted with permission, from McCluney and Sabo [37].

553







