

2011

FP-11-03 Adopted Children in the U.S., 2007

Elizabeth J. Ela

University of Michigan - Ann Arbor, ejela@umich.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles



Part of the [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#)

Repository Citation


Ela, Elizabeth J., "FP-11-03 Adopted Children in the U.S., 2007" (2011). *National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles*. 3.

https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/ncfmr_family_profiles/3

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Sociology at ScholarWorks@BGSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in National Center for Family and Marriage Research Family Profiles by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@BGSU.


This Family Profile uses National Survey of Adoptive Parents (NSAP) data to describe the approximately 1.8 million adopted children in the U.S. The NSAP is an offshoot of the 2007 National Survey of Children's Health and is the first-ever large, nationally representative dataset describing adopted children aged 0-17 years, as well as their adoptive families (excluding stepparent adoptions). One quarter of these children were adopted internationally; the remaining children were adopted from the public foster care system (37%) or through a private adoption agency (38%). For more information on the NSAP, please visit [The Data Source: National Survey of Adoptive Parents, 2007](#) on the NCFMR webpage.

Figure 1. Distribution of Adoption Types



Source: 2007 National Survey of Adoptive Parents, NCFMR tabulations

Figure 2. Child's Age When Placed in Adoptive Home



Source: 2007 National Survey of Adoptive Parents, NCFMR tabulations


Age

- Over half (57%) of all adopted children were under one year old when placed in their adoptive homes; 83% of children were placed before the age of four.

Sex

- For adopted children as a whole, the sex distribution is nearly equal (49% are male and 51% are female).
- A majority (57%) of children adopted from foster care are male, while over two-thirds (67%) of children adopted internationally are female.

Figure 3. Sex Distribution by Adoption Type




Source: 2007 National Survey of Adoptive Parents, NCFMR tabulations

Family Profiles examine topics related to NCFMR's core research themes. Data are presented at both the national and state levels using new data sources. Written for both researchers and broad communities, these profiles summarize the latest statistics on U.S. families.

Race/Ethnicity

- Internationally adopted children are far more likely than other adopted children to be Asian.
- Foster care adoptions are most often Black or White children.
- Half of privately adopted children are White and one-quarter are Black.

Figure 4. Racial/Ethnic Distribution, by Adoption Type




Source: 2007 National Survey of Adoptive Parents, NCFMR tabulations

Country of Origin

- The top four countries of origin for children adopted internationally are China (33%), Russia (13%), Guatemala (11%), and South Korea (11%), which together account for 68% of all children adopted internationally in the US. These countries' representation among adopted children varies by sex.
 - The top three countries of origin for boys adopted internationally are Russia (25%), Guatemala (20%), and South Korea (20%).
 - The top three countries of origin for girls adopted internationally are China (49%), Russia (8%), and Guatemala (7%).
 - Taken together, one-third of all children adopted internationally in the U.S. are girls born in China. Boys outnumber girls among children adopted from the other three top countries of origin.

Figure 5. Sex Distribution for Children Adopted From Top Countries of Origin



Source: 2007 National Survey of Adoptive Parents, NCFMR tabulations

Suggested Citation:

Ela, E. J. (2011). Adopted Children in the U.S., 2007 (FP-11-03). National Center for Family & Marriage Research. Retrieved from http://ncfmr.bgsu.edu/pdf/family_profiles/file98993.pdf

This project was supported with a grant from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, grant number 5 UOI AEOOOOOI-04. The opinions and conclusions expressed herein are solely those of the author(s) and should not be construed as representing the opinions or policy of any agency of the Federal government.