**Authors**: I designed this checklist to assist you in submitting your *original research manuscript* to the *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education* using the bepress Scholarworks website at Bowling Green State University. Do not submit it with your files. *It is for your personal use* in manuscript preparation prior to submission.

* Have you word-processed electronic files (MS Word .doc, .docx, or .rtf) for -
* *main document* including an *abstract* (and *keywords*)*, complete text,* *tables* and *figures in the proper place in the text,* & references) with continuous line numbers?
* Have you inserted each *table with table caption* in the appropriate location in the main document?
* Have you created each *figure* and *photograph* as a .jpg or .tif file and inserted it in its appropriate location in the main document with a separate caption (not part of figure)?
* Have you created a *supplemental* *file* for each *digital video* or other streaming media?
* Have you used the American Psychological Association (APA) (refer to *Publication Manual*, 6th ed. or <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>) to organize your manuscript, citations, and r*eferences*?
* Have you omitted all *author names* and identifying information from the acknowledgements and author notes*?*
* Have you included the 150 word double-spaced *abstract* on its own page in the main document as well as uploading it *separately* in the designated textbox during the submission process?
* Have you used 2-6 appropriate *IJARE* *keywords* at the end of the abstract page? (see separate suggested keyword list)
* Have your provided an intro./background section to clearly indicate need for study?
* Have you *clearly* stated your research question and hypotheses to be tested?
* Have you described *informed consent procedures for* participants in the **Method** section?
* For all measures and test instruments, have you reported the appropriate *validity*, *reliability*, and *rater objectivity*?
* For statistical analyses, have you provided the *Type I error level* against which the hypotheses have been tested (*Note*: α < 0.05 is traditional, but not mandatory) and reported *a priori* *statistical power* & *confidence intervals* (e.g., sufficient sample & effect size to detect significant differences)?
* Are each of your hypotheses tested clearly with statistical analyses in **Results**?
* Do you fully elaborate and interpret all of your hypotheses during the **Discussion**?
* Does each **Conclusion** make clear and logical sense and is parallel to your hypothesis?
* Are all citations included in your Reference list in alphabetic order, in APA format, and cited using APA format within the main document?
* Have you run spell- and grammar-checks plus proofread your document several times to be certain that you have eliminated misspellings and typographic errors?
* Has a naïve, intelligent, *native English* writer read the manuscript and provided feedback on its level of readability and lack of technical jargon (defined in context)?

If you cannot answer “Yes” to all of these questions, your original research manuscript may not be ready to be submitted for peer review. Contact Editor [langendorfersj@gmail.com](mailto:langendorfersj@gmail.com) with questions.